



**PUBLIC RI REPORT**

**2021 PILOT**

**Gestion FÉRIQUE**

**Generated 2022-08-18**

# About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework helps to build a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment activities. **Public RI Reports** provide accountability and transparency on signatories' responsible investment activities and support dialogue within signatories' organisations, as well as with their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders.

This **Public RI Report** is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2021 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offered a multiple-choice response, all options that were available to select from are included for context. While presenting the information verbatim results in lengthy reports, the approach is informed by signatory feedback that signatories prefer that the PRI does not summarise the information.

## Context

In consultation with signatories, between 2018 and 2020 the PRI extensively reviewed the Reporting and Assessment processes and set the ambitious objective of launching in 2021 a completely new investor Reporting Framework, together with a new reporting tool.

We ran the new investor Reporting and Assessment process as a pilot in its first year, and such process included providing additional opportunities for signatories to provide feedback on the Reporting Framework, the online reporting tool and the resulting reports. The feedback from this pilot phase has been, and is continuing to be analysed, in order to identify any improvements that can be included in future reporting cycles.

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2021 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

# Table of Contents

Module/Indicator	Page
Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)	4
Organisational Overview (OO)	8
Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)	22
Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring (SAM)	50
Listed Equity (LE)	66

# Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)

## Senior leadership statement

### Our commitment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Our commitment	GENERAL

- **Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?**
- **What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment?**
- **What are the main differences between your organisation's approach to responsible investment in its ESG practice and in other practices, across asset classes?**

Due to the significant impact they can have on society and the environment, FÉRIQUE Fund Management believes that environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues must be integrated into its investment decisions; it is therefore committed to constantly doing more in this area.

Our approach to Responsible Investment has three components:

#### 1. PROXY VOTING

- The first tool used by Gestion FÉRIQUE for responsible investment.
- A key initiative that enables shareholders to have a positive impact on investee companies.
- Uses a policy based on three principles: long-term profitability, accountability and transparency.
- Reconciles two fundamental objectives: encourages companies to behave in a responsible manner and helps protect the investor's assets over the long term.

#### 2. INCLUSION OF ESG CRITERIA IN INVESTMENT ANALYSIS AND DECISION MAKING

- An approach that complements traditional risk management and produces superior results over the long term.
- A pragmatic initiative designed to improve practices through collaboration, without excluding securities and without giving directives to managers; in other words, we do not require that our managers emphasize securities for ESG reasons but we encourage them to do so.
- Emphasizes knowledge of the responsible investment policies and practices of the managers to whom FÉRIQUE gives mandates for its family of mutual funds.



### 3. SHAREHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

- A way to encourage portfolio companies to improve their ESG practices.
- Uses individual initiatives or collaboration with other stakeholders, with emphasis on collaborative approaches because they have greater impact.

As FÉRIQUE Fund Management has experienced over its many years of responsible investing, adopting such an approach enhances the longer term risk/return profile of its assets and contributes to the overall well-being of its clients. For those reasons and in their own capacity, all of FÉRIQUE's funds and portfolios are subject to its Responsible Investment approach.

## Annual overview

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Annual overview	GENERAL

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. This might involve e.g. outlining your single most important achievement, or describing your general progress, on topics such as the following:
  - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
  - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policy makers
  - collaborative engagements
  - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

Every year, FÉRIQUE Fund Management works on refining its sustainable investment approach and improving the way ESG analysis is incorporated into its investment decisions. This is done through ongoing collaboration with all of our external managers whom are all PRI signatories and share the commitment to the Principles. In addition to that, FÉRIQUE Fund Management is also proud to report the following achievements:

#### ACTION PLAN ON THE MANAGEMENT OF THE ENERGY TRANSITION AND THE CARBON FOOTPRINT

FÉRIQUE Fund Management continued its efforts during 2020 by setting up a strategic plan with the dual objective of protecting its funds against the risks associated with the energy transition and contributing actively to the reduction of all greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) on the planet. FÉRIQUE Fund Management officially adopted interim reduction targets as well as a carbon neutrality objective by 2050. Beyond its own activities, FÉRIQUE Fund Management is also committed, through its networks, to promoting all the actions that will make it possible to resolve the climate issue.

#### CREATION OF NEW SUSTAINABLE INVESTMENT FUNDS

Among the many projects undertaken during the year, the launch of new Sustainable Funds is probably the one whose ability to provide positive solutions will be the most tangible for our investors. Structured to link investments to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDG), the FÉRIQUE Global Sustainable Development Bond Fund and FÉRIQUE Global Sustainable Development Equity Fund will allow their holders to better understand how their assets are helping to forge a more prosperous and sustainable world.

#### RIA'S RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT SPECIALIST DESIGNATION (RIS)

In order to properly communicate all the information related to responsible investments to its clients, the advisors of FÉRIQUE Investment Services, which is the primary dealer selling the FÉRIQUE Funds, have followed the RIA certification program and successfully obtained the responsible investment specialist accreditation.

## Next steps

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Next steps	GENERAL

### What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

Over the next two years, FÉRIQUE Fund Management will seek to implement best practices in terms of ESG beyond investments, across the firm, with a focus on deploying its strategic carbon plan. More specifically, this consists: • Decreased exposure to fossil fuels & contribution to transition (avoided emissions) • Reduction of emissions / carbon budget (Scope 1,2,3 & contribution of all sectors/industries) • Evaluation of the practices of our managers • Voting rights policy (continue to develop it through regular updates to best practices) • Engagement efforts (multiply and target) • Measurement of emissions from our operations • Monitoring of our portfolio's alignment (Paris Agreement) • Publication of our results • Mechanisms for monitoring and act against companies that refuse to evolve

## Endorsement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Endorsement	GENERAL

The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment.

Name Louis Lizotte

Position Chief, Investment Solutions

Organisation's name Gestion FÉRIQUE

● This endorsement is for the Senior Leadership Statement only and is not an endorsement of the information reported by Gestion FÉRIQUE in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement is simply provided as a general overview of Gestion FÉRIQUE's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such, and is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions.

## Organisational Overview (OO)

## Organisational information

### Categorisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 1	CORE	Signatory category	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Categorisation	GENERAL

Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide.

(N) Other, please specify

Gestion FÉRIQUE - Investment Fund Manager & Portfolio Fund Manager is a non-profit organisation offering investment solutions to Quebec engineers and their family.

(1) This is our only (or primary) type

## Subsidiary information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries that are also PRI signatories in their own right?

☐ (A) Yes

☒ (B) No

## Reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

Indicate the year-end date for your reporting year.

	Month	Day	Year
Reporting year end date:	December	31	2020

# Assets under management

## All asset classes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 4.1, OO 4.2	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What were your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the indicated reporting year? Provide the amount in USD.

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries US\$ 2,588,210,000.00

(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission US\$ 0.00

(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only US\$ 0.00

## Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total assets under management at the end of your indicated reporting year.

### Percentage of AUM

(A) Listed equity – internal 0.0%

(B) Listed equity – external 50-75%

(C) Fixed income – internal 0.0%

(D) Fixed income – external	10-50%
(E) Private equity – internal	0.0%
(F) Private equity – external	0.0%
(G) Real estate – internal	0.0%
(H) Real estate – external	0.0%
(I) Infrastructure – internal	0.0%
(J) Infrastructure – external	0.0%
(K) Hedge funds – internal	0.0%
(L) Hedge funds – external	0.0%
(M) Forestry – internal	0.0%
(N) Forestry – external	0.0%
(O) Farmland – internal	0.0%
(P) Farmland – external	0.0%
(Q) Other – internal, please specify:	0.0%
(R) Other – external, please specify: ■ money market and cash	0-10%
(S) Off-balance sheet – internal	0.0%
(T) Off-balance sheet – external	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.1	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

**Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed assets between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.**

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(9) Other
(A) Segregated mandate(s)	>75%	>75%	>75%
(B) Pooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)	0-10%	0.0%	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 LE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

**Provide a further breakdown of your listed equity assets.**

	(B) External allocation – segregated	(C) External allocation – pooled
(1) Passive equity	0.0%	0.0%
(2) Active – quantitative	0.0%	0.0%
(3) Active – fundamental	>75%	>75%
(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)	0.0%	0.0%
(5) Other, please specify:	0.0%	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 FI	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

**Provide a further breakdown of your fixed income assets.**

**(B) External allocation – segregated**

(1) Passive – SSA	0.0%
-------------------	------

(2) Passive – corporate	0.0%
-------------------------	------

(3) Passive – securitised	0.0%
---------------------------	------

(4) Active – SSA	50-75%
------------------	--------

(5) Active – corporate	10-50%
------------------------	--------

(6) Active – securitised	0-10%
--------------------------	-------

(7) Private debt	0.0%
------------------	------



# ESG strategies

## Externally managed assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 SAM	CORE	OO 5.2 LE, OO 5.2 FI	OO 6.1 SAM	PUBLIC	Externally managed assets	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies apply to your externally managed active listed equity and fixed income?

	(1) Listed equity - external	(2) Fixed income – SSA - external	(3) Fixed income – corporate - external	(4) Fixed income – securitised - external
(A) Screening alone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	>75%	>75%	>75%	>75%
(D) Screening and integration	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(H) None	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

# Externally managed assets

## Captive relationships

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 7	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Captive relationships	GENERAL

Does your organisation have a captive relationship with some or all of its external investment managers?

- ☐ (A) Yes  
☒ (B) No

## Investment consultants

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 8	CORE	OO 5	SAM 1	PUBLIC	Investment consultants	GENERAL

Does your organisation engage investment consultants in the selection, appointment or monitoring of your external investment managers?

- ☐ (A) Yes  
☒ (B) No

# Stewardship

## Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 LE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 LE	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Listed equity	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your listed equity assets?

	(1) Engagement on listed equity – active	(3) (Proxy) voting on listed equity – active
(A) Through service providers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Through external managers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Through internal staff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) Collaboratively	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Fixed income

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 FI	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 FI	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your fixed income assets?

	(4) Active – SSA	(5) Active – corporate	(6) Active – securitised
(A) Through service providers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) Through external managers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(C) Through internal staff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) Collaboratively	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity for this strategy/asset type	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

# ESG incorporation

## External manager selection

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	External manager selection	1

For each externally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into external manager selection. Your response should refer to the selection of the external managers who managed the relevant asset classes during the reporting year, regardless of when such selection took place.

	(1) ESG incorporated into external manager selection	(2) ESG not incorporated into external manager selection
(B) Listed equity – active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(D) Fixed income – active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(K) Other [as specified]	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

## External manager appointment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 12	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	External manager appointment	1

For each externally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into external manager appointment. Your response should refer to the appointment of the external managers who managed the relevant asset classes during the reporting year, regardless of when their appointment took place.

	(1) ESG incorporated into external manager appointment	(2) ESG not incorporated into external manager appointment
(B) Listed equity – active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(D) Fixed income – active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(K) Other [as specified]	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

## External manager monitoring

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 13	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	External manager monitoring	1

For each externally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporated ESG into external manager monitoring during the reporting year.

	(1) ESG incorporated into external manager monitoring	(2) ESG not incorporated into external manager monitoring
(B) Listed equity – active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(D) Fixed income – active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(K) Other [as specified]	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

# Voluntary reporting

## Voluntary modules

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Voluntary modules	GENERAL

The following modules are voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules as they account for less than 10% of your total AUM and are under USD 10 billion. Please select if you wish to voluntarily report on the module.

(1) Yes, report on the module

(2) No, opt out of reporting on the module

(A) Listed equity



The following modules are mandatory to report on as they account for 10% or more of your total AUM or are over USD 10 billion. The ISP (Investment and Stewardship Policy) module is always applicable for reporting.

(1) Yes, report on the module

ISP: Investment and Stewardship Policy



(J) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – listed equity



(K) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – fixed income



# ESG/sustainability funds and products

## Labelling and marketing

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management in each asset class are ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or ESG/RI certified or labelled assets? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5% and should combine internally and externally managed assets.

### Percentage

(B) Listed equity – active >75%

(D) Fixed income – active >75%

(K) Other >75%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16.1	CORE	OO 16	ISP 52	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your total assets (per asset class) carry a formal ESG/RI certification or label? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5%.

### Coverage of ESG/RI certification or label:

(A) Listed equity 0.0%

(B) Fixed income 0.0%

(I) Other 0.0%

# Climate investments

## Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management is in targeted low-carbon or climate-resilient investments?

0.0%

## Other asset breakdowns

### Geographical breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

What is the geographical breakdown of your organisation's assets under management by investment destination (i.e. where the investments are located)?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income – SSA	(3) Fixed income – corporate	(4) Fixed income – securitised
(A) Developed	>75%	>75%	>75%	>75%
(B) Emerging	0-25%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(C) Frontier	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%



## Management by PRI signatories

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 19	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Management by PRI signatories	GENERAL

What approximate percentage (+/-5%) of your externally managed assets are managed by PRI signatories?

>75%

## Fixed income constraints

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 20	CORE	OO 5.2 FI	OO 20.1	PUBLIC	Fixed income constraints	GENERAL

What percentage of your fixed income assets are subject to constraints? The constraints may be regulatory requirements, credit quality restrictions, currency constraints or similar.

### Internal and external fixed income assets subject to constraints

(A) Fixed income – SSA >75%

(B) Fixed income – corporate >75%

(C) Fixed income – securitised >75%

# Context and explanation

## ESG in other asset classes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 34	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG in other asset classes	1

Describe how you incorporate ESG into the following asset classes.

### Description

(F) Other – external

For its money market fund, Gestion FÉRIQUE has formalized the integration of ESG factors into his external manager's investment process by adding a description of it into the prospectus. The monitoring of this is made with a yearly questionnaire.

## Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)

## Responsible investment policy & governance

### Responsible investment policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1	CORE	N/A	ISP 1.1, ISP 1.2	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Does your organisation have a formal policy or policies covering your approach to responsible investment? Your approach to responsible investment may be set out in a standalone guideline, covered in multiple standalone guidelines or be part of a broader investment policy. Your policy may cover various responsible investment elements such as stewardship, ESG guidelines, sustainability outcomes, specific climate-related guidelines, RI governance and similar.

- (A) Yes, we do have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment
- (B) No, we do not have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1.1	CORE	ISP 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What elements does your responsible investment policy cover? The responsible investment elements may be set out in one or multiple standalone guidelines, or they may be part of a broader investment policy.

- ☒ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☒ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☒ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☒ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☒ (E) Approach to stewardship
- ☒ (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes
- ☒ (G) Approach to exclusions
- ☐ (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented
- ☐ (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty
- ☒ (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives
- ☒ (K) Responsible investment governance structure
- ☒ (L) Internal reporting and verification related to responsible investment
- ☒ (M) External reporting related to responsible investment
- ☐ (N) Managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- ☐ (O) Other responsible investment aspects not listed here, please specify:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 2	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	6

Indicate which of your responsible investment policy elements are publicly available and provide links.

- ☒ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment. Add link(s):  
<https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/our-approach-to-responsible-investment/>
- ☒ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors. Add link(s):  
<https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/responsible-investment-policy/>
- ☒ (C) Guidelines on social factors. Add link(s):  
<https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/responsible-investment-policy/>
- ☒ (D) Guidelines on governance factors. Add link(s):

https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/responsible-investment-policy/

☒ (E) Approach to stewardship. Add link(s):

https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/responsible-investment-policy/

☒ (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes. Add link(s):

https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/responsible-investment-policy/

☒ (G) Approach to exclusions. Add link(s):

https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/responsible-investment-policy/

☒ (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives. Add link(s):

https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/responsible-investment-policy/

☒ (K) Responsible investment governance structure. Add link(s):

https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/responsible-investment-policy/

☐ (L) Internal reporting and verification related to responsible investment. Add link(s):

☒ (M) External reporting related to responsible investment. Add link(s):

https://www.ferique.com/en/en/investissement-responsable/activity-reports-on-responsible-investment/

☐ (P) Our responsible investment policy elements are not publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 3	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your policy elements on overall approach to responsible investment and/or guidelines on environmental, social and governance factors?

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- (C) Guidelines on social factors
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors

AUM coverage of all policy elements in total:

>75%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 4	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Which elements does your exclusion policy include?

- ☒ (A) Legally required exclusions (e.g. those required by domestic/international law, bans, treaties or embargoes)
- ☐ (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs (e.g. regarding weapons, alcohol, tobacco and/or avoiding other particular sectors, products, services or regions)
- ☐ (C) Exclusions based on screening against minimum standards of business practice based on international norms (e.g. OECD guidelines, the UN Human Rights Declaration, Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact)

## Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 6	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

Do your organisation's board, chief-level staff, investment committee and/or head of department have formal oversight and accountability for responsible investment?

- ☒ (A) Board and/or trustees
- ☐ (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- ☒ (C) Investment committee
- ☐ (D) Other chief-level staff, please specify:
- ☒ (E) Head of department, please specify department:  
Investment solutions
- ☐ (F) None of the above roles have oversight and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 7	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles have responsibility for implementing responsible investment?

- ☐ (A) Board and/or trustees
- ☐ (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- ☐ (C) Investment committee
- ☐ (D) Other chief-level staff [as specified]
- ☐ (E) Head of department [as specified]
- ☒ (F) Portfolio managers
- ☒ (G) Investment analysts

- ☒ (H) Dedicated responsible investment staff
- ☐ (I) Investor relations
- ☒ (J) External managers or service providers
- ☐ (K) Other role, please specify:
- ☐ (L) Other role, please specify:
- ☐ (M) We do not have roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment.

## People and capabilities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8	CORE	ISP 6, ISP 7	ISP 8.1, ISP 8.2	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

What formal objectives for responsible investment do the roles in your organisation have?

	(1) Board and/or trustees	(3) Investment committee	(5) Head of department [as specified]	(6) Portfolio managers
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Objective for ESG performance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(F) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(G) No formal objectives for responsible investment exist for this role	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<b>(7) Investment analysts</b>	<b>(8) Dedicated responsible investment staff</b>	<b>(10) External managers or service providers</b>	
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
(D) Objective for ESG performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
(F) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
(G) No formal objectives for responsible investment exist for this role	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8.2	CORE	ISP 8	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

Which responsible investment objectives are linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation?

RI objectives linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation:

**(1) Board and/or trustees**

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities ☐

**(3) Investment committee**

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities ☐

**(5) Head of department**

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities ☒

**(6) Portfolio managers**

(A) Objective on ESG incorporation in investment activities ☒

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach ☐

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions) ☐

(D) Objective for ESG performance ☐



---

**(7) Investment analysts**

---

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach ☒

---

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions) ☒

---

(D) Objective for ESG performance ☐

---

**(8) Dedicated responsible investment staff**

---

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities ☒

---

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach ☒

---

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions) ☒

---

(D) Objective for ESG performance ☐

---

**(10) External managers or service providers**

---

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions) ☐

---

(G) We have not linked any RI objectives to variable compensation ☐

---

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 9	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

How frequently does your organisation assess the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among your investment professionals?

- ☒ (A) Quarterly or more frequently
- ☐ (B) Bi-annually
- ☐ (C) Annually
- ☐ (D) Less frequently than annually
- ☐ (E) On an ad hoc basis
- ☐ (F) We do not have a process for assessing the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among our investment professionals

## Strategic asset allocation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 10	CORE	N/A	ISP 10.1	PUBLIC	Strategic asset allocation	1

Does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your strategic asset allocation?

- ☒ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
- ☒ (B) We specifically incorporate physical, transition and regulatory changes related to climate change into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
- ☐ (C) No, we do not incorporate ESG considerations into our strategic asset allocation
- ☐ (D) Not applicable, we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 10.1	CORE	ISP 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategic asset allocation	1

**For what proportion of assets do you incorporate ESG factors into your strategic asset allocation process?**

(A) We incorporate ESG factors into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes (1) for all of our assets

(B) We specifically incorporate physical, transition and regulatory changes related to climate change into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes (1) for all of our assets

# Stewardship

## Stewardship policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

**What percentage of your assets under management does your stewardship policy cover?**

(A) Listed equity >75%

(B) Fixed income >75%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 12	CORE	ISP 1.1	ISP 12.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

Which elements does your organisation's stewardship policy cover? The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI policy.

- ☒ (A) Key stewardship objectives
- ☐ (B) Prioritisation approach of ESG factors and their link to engagement issues and targets
- ☐ (C) Prioritisation approach depending on entity (e.g. company or government)
- ☒ (D) Specific approach to climate-related risks and opportunities
- ☐ (E) Stewardship tool usage across the organisation, including which, if any, tools are out of scope and when and how different tools are used and by whom (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams, service providers, external investment managers or similar)
- ☒ (F) Stewardship tool usage for specific internal teams (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams or similar)
- ☒ (G) Stewardship tool usage for specific external teams (e.g. service providers, external investment managers or similar)
- ☒ (H) Approach to collaboration on stewardship
- ☐ (I) Escalation strategies
- ☒ (J) Conflicts of interest
- ☐ (K) Details on how the stewardship policy is implemented and which elements are mandatory, including how and when the policy can be overruled
- ☐ (L) How stewardship efforts and results should be communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- ☐ (M) None of the above elements are captured in our stewardship policy

## Stewardship policy implementation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 13	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy implementation	2

How is your stewardship policy primarily applied?

- ☒ (A) It requires our organisation to take certain actions
- ☐ (B) It describes default actions that can be overridden (e.g. by investment teams for certain portfolios)
- ☐ (C) It creates permission for taking certain measures that are otherwise exceptional
- ☐ (D) We have not developed a uniform approach to applying our stewardship policy

## Stewardship objectives

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 15	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship objectives	2

For the majority of assets within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income
(A) Maximise the risk–return profile of individual investments	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(B) Maximise overall returns across the portfolio	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(C) Maximise overall value to beneficiaries/clients	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(D) Contribute to shaping specific sustainability outcomes (i.e. deliver impact)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

## Stewardship prioritisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship prioritisation	2

What key criteria does your organisation use to prioritise your engagement targets? For asset classes such as real estate, private equity and infrastructure, you may consider this as key criteria to prioritise actions taken on ESG factors for assets, portfolio companies and/or properties in your portfolio. Select up to 3 options per asset class from the list.

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income
(A) The size of our holdings in the entity or the size of the asset, portfolio company and/or property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) The materiality of ESG factors on financial and/or operational performance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Specific ESG factors with systemic influence (e.g. climate or human rights)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) The ESG rating of the entity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) The adequacy of public disclosure on ESG factors/performance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(F) Specific ESG factors based on input from clients	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(G) Specific ESG factors based on input from beneficiaries	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(H) Other criteria to prioritise engagement targets, please specify:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(I) We do not prioritise our engagement targets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please specify for "(H) Other criteria to prioritise engagement targets".

We also aim for a certain level of diversify in terms of issues and geographical representation.

## Collaborative stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	ISP 18.1	PUBLIC	Collaborative stewardship	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf, with regards to collaborative stewardship efforts such as collaborative engagements?

- ☒ (A) We recognise that stewardship suffers from a collective action problem, and, as a result, we actively prefer collaborative efforts
- ☐ (B) We collaborate when our individual stewardship efforts have been unsuccessful or are likely to be unsuccessful, i.e. as an escalation tool
- ☐ (C) We collaborate in situations where doing so would minimise resource cost to our organisation
- ☐ (D) We do not have a default position but collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- ☐ (E) We generally do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

## Escalation strategies

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 20	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Escalation strategies	2

If initial stewardship approaches were deemed unsuccessful, which of the following measures are excluded from the potential escalation actions of your organisation or those of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income
(A) Collaboratively engaging the entity with other investors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) Filing/co-filing/submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Publicly engaging the entity (e.g. open letter)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(F) Voting against the annual financial report	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(G) Divesting or implementing an exit strategy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(H) We do not have any restrictions on the escalation measures we can use	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

## Engaging policymakers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

How does your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policymakers for a more sustainable financial system?

- ☐ (A) We engage with policymakers directly
- ☒ (B) We provide financial support, are members of and/or are in another way affiliated with third-party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policymakers
- ☐ (C) We do not engage with policymakers directly or indirectly

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23.1	CORE	ISP 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

What methods do you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policymakers for a more sustainable financial system?

- ☒ (A) We participate in "sign-on" letters on ESG policy topics. Describe:  
For example, the Open letter to EU leaders from investors on a sustainable recovery from COVID-19.  
<https://theinvestoragenda.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Open-letter-to-EU-leaders-from-investors-on-a-sustainable-recovery-from-COVID-19.pdf>
- ☒ (B) We respond to policy consultations on ESG policy topics. Describe:



As part of a PRI committee on public policy based in Montreal, one of FÉRIQUE's employee was actively involved in the creation of a document regarding the government's consultations on strengthening federally regulated pension plans.

- ☐ (C) We provide technical input on ESG policy change. Describe:
- ☐ (D) We proactively engage financial regulators on financial regulatory topics regarding ESG integration, stewardship, disclosure or similar. Describe:
- ☐ (E) We proactively engage regulators and policymakers on other policy topics. Describe:
- ☐ (F) Other methods used to engage with policymakers. Describe:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23.2	CORE	ISP 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

**Do you have governance processes in place (e.g. board accountability and oversight, regular monitoring and review of relationships) that ensure your policy activities, including those through third parties, are aligned with your position on sustainable finance and your commitment to the 6 Principles of the PRI?**

● (A) Yes, we have governance processes in place to ensure that our policy activities are aligned with our position on sustainable finance and our commitment to the 6 Principles of the PRI. Describe your governance processes:

All of our policies are monitored and reported to the investment committee and ultimately to our board.

○ (B) No, we do not have these governance processes in place. Please explain why not:

## Engaging policymakers – Policies

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 24	CORE	ISP 23	ISP 24.1	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers – Policies	2

**Do you have policies in place that ensure that your political influence as an organisation is aligned with your position on sustainable finance and your commitment to the 6 Principles of the PRI?**

● (A) Yes, we have a policy(ies) in place. Describe your policy(ies):

Our Responsible Investment Policy provides the guidelines for all engagement activities and we are in the process of adding a Sustainable development policy which would be even more detailed.

○ (B) No, we do not a policy(ies) in place. Please explain why not:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 24.1	CORE	ISP 24	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers – Policies	2

Is your policy that ensures alignment between your political influence and your position on sustainable finance publicly disclosed?

☒ (A) Yes. Add link(s):

☐ (B) No, we do not publicly disclose this policy(ies)

## Engaging policymakers – Transparency

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 25	CORE	ISP 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers – Transparency	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose your policy engagement activities or those conducted on your behalf by external investment managers/service providers?

☒ (A) We publicly disclosed details of our policy engagement activities. Add link(s):

☐ (B) We publicly disclosed a list of our third-party memberships in or support for trade associations, think-tanks or similar that conduct policy engagement activities with our support or endorsement. Add link(s):

☐ (C) No, we did not publicly disclose our policy engagements activities during the reporting year. Explain why:

☐ (D) Not applicable, we did not conduct policy engagement activities

# Climate change

## Public support

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 26	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

**Does your organisation publicly support the Paris Agreement?**

● (A) Yes, we publicly support the Paris Agreement Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the Paris Agreement:

■ <https://www.ferique.com/fr/fr/investissement-responsable/rapport-dactivites-2019-sur-linvestissement-responsable/>

○ (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the Paris Agreement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 27	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

**Does your organisation publicly support the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)?**

● (A) Yes, we publicly support the TCFD Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the TCFD:

■ <https://www.ferique.com/fr/fr/investissement-responsable/rapport-dactivites-2019-sur-linvestissement-responsable/>

○ (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the TCFD

## Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 28	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

**How does the board or the equivalent function exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities?**

☒ (A) By establishing internal processes through which the board or the equivalent function are informed about climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

In 2020, Gestion FÉRIQUE put in place its strategic plan on climate-related risks and opportunities (Carbon Plan). It was approved by the investment committee and contains the processes about how members will be kept informed. A detailed 3yr timeline on all the actions and objectives of this plan was included.

☒ (B) By articulating internal/external roles and responsibilities related to climate. Specify:

Part of the document that was presented to the board.

☐ (C) By engaging with beneficiaries to understand how their preferences are evolving with regard to climate change. Specify:

☒ (D) By incorporating climate change into investment beliefs and policies. Specify:

Part of the document that was presented to the board.

☒ (E) By monitoring progress on climate-related metrics and targets. Specify:

Part of the document that was presented to the board.

☒ (F) By defining the link between fiduciary duty and climate risks and opportunities. Specify:

Part of the document that was presented to the board.

☐ (G) Other measures to exercise oversight, please specify:

☐ (H) The board or the equivalent function does not exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 29	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

**What is the role of management in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities?**

☒ (A) Management is responsible for identifying climate-related risks/opportunities and reporting them back to the board or the equivalent function. Specify:

Through the mandate it was given by its Investment monitoring committee, FÉRIQUE's management is responsible for the execution of the «Climate Plan» and its future developments.

☒ (B) Management implements the agreed-upon risk management measures. Specify:

Operational aspects (the agreed-upon risk management measures like certain exposures or carbon metrics) are the responsibility of the management.

☒ (C) Management monitors and reports on climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

☐ Yes, this is part of the plan approved by the board.

☒ (D) Management ensures adequate resources, including staff, training and budget, are available to assess, implement and monitor climate-related risks/opportunities and measures. Specify:

☐ Senior management is responsible to ensure that objectives are met with the proper resources.

☐ (E) Other roles management takes on to assess and manage climate-related risks/opportunities, please specify:

☐ (F) Our management does not have responsibility for assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities

## Strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified within its investment time horizon(s)?

☒ (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:

☐ We see financial risks as both related to specific asset classes but also as a longer term systemic risk.

☒ (B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:

☐ Yes, from a portfolio perspective, we do keep track of our level of exposure to potential stranded assets. Industries and companies which raison d'être involves fossil fuels top that list.

☒ (C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:

☐ We use the quarterly review of our external managers to enquire on this.

☒ (D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:

☐ We use the quarterly review of our external managers to enquire on this.

☒ (E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:

☐ It is part of the objectives of our Sustainable development Funds but also something we constantly encourage all of our external managers to do.

☒ (F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:

☐ It is part of the objectives of our Sustainable development Funds but also something we constantly encourage all of our external managers to do.

☐ (G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified. Specify:

☐ (H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities within our organisation's investment time horizon

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30.1	CORE	ISP 30	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

For each of the identified climate-related risks and opportunities, indicate within which investment time-horizon they were identified.

	(1) 3–5 months	(2) 6 months to 2 years	(3) 2–4 years	(4) 5–10 years
(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	(5) 11–20 years	(6) 21–30 years	(7) >30 years	
(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

(D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

(F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 31	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

**Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified beyond its investment time horizon(s)?**

- ☐ (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:
- ☐ (B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:
- ☐ (C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:
- ☐ (D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:
- ☐ (E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:
- ☐ (F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:
- ☐ (G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified, please specify:
- ☒ (H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities beyond our organisation's investment time horizon

## Strategy: Scenario analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 33	CORE	N/A	ISP 33.1	PUBLIC	Strategy: Scenario analysis	General

Does your organisation use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities? Select the range of scenarios used.

- ☐ (A) An orderly transition to a 2°C or lower scenario
- ☒ (B) **An abrupt transition consistent with the Inevitable Policy Response**
- ☐ (C) A failure to transition, based on a 4°C or higher scenario
- ☐ (D) Other climate scenario, specify:
- ☐ (E) We do not use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities

## Sustainability outcomes

### Set policies on sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 40	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Set policies on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Where is your approach to sustainability outcomes set out? Your policy/guideline may be a standalone document or part of a wider responsible investment policy.

- ☐ (A) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our responsible investment policy
- ☐ (B) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our exclusion policy
- ☐ (C) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our stewardship policy
- ☒ (D) **Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in asset class-specific investment guidelines**
- ☐ (E) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in separate guidelines on specific outcomes (e.g. the SDGs, climate or human rights)



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 41	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Set policies on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which global or regionally recognised frameworks do your policies and guidelines on sustainability outcomes refer to?

- ☒ (A) The SDG goals and targets  
☒ (B) The Paris Agreement  
☒ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights  
☐ (D) The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, including guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors  
☐ (E) Other frameworks, please specify:  
☐ (F) Other frameworks, please specify:

## Identify sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 43	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes from any of its activities?

- ☐ (A) No, we have not identified the sustainability outcomes from our activities  
☒ (B) Yes, we have identified one or more sustainability outcomes from some or all of our activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44	CORE	ISP 43	ISP 44.1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

What frameworks/tools did your organisation use to identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities? Indicate the tools or frameworks you have used to identify and map some or all of your sustainability outcomes.

- ☒ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets  
☒ (B) The Paris Agreement  
☒ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)  
☐ (D) The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, including guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors

- ☐ (E) The EU Taxonomy
- ☐ (F) Other taxonomies (e.g. similar to the EU Taxonomy), please specify:
- ☐ (G) Other framework/tool, please specify:
- ☐ (H) Other framework/tool, please specify:
- ☐ (I) Other framework/tool, please specify:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44.1	CORE	ISP 44	N/A	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

**At what level(s) did your organisation identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities?**

- ☒ (A) At the asset level
- ☐ (B) At the economic activity level
- ☒ (C) At the company level
- ☐ (D) At the sector level
- ☐ (E) At the country/region level
- ☒ (F) At the global level
- ☐ (G) Other level(s), please specify:
- ☐ (H) We do not track at what level(s) our sustainability outcomes were identified

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 45	CORE	ISP 43	SO 1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

**How has your organisation determined your most important sustainability outcome objectives?**

- ☐ (A) Identifying sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- ☐ (B) Consulting with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- ☐ (C) Assessing the potential severity (e.g. probability and amplitude) of specific negative outcomes over different timeframes
- ☒ (D) Focusing on the potential for systemic impacts (e.g. due to high level of interconnectedness with other global challenges)
- ☐ (E) Evaluating the potential for certain outcome objectives to act as a catalyst/enabler to achieve a broad range of goals (e.g. gender or education)
- ☐ (F) Analysing the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society or similar)
- ☐ (G) Understanding the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- ☐ (H) Other method, please specify:
- ☐ (I) We have not yet determined our most important sustainability outcome objectives

# Transparency & Confidence-Building Measures

## Information disclosed – ESG assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 46	CORE	OO 16	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – ESG assets	6

For the majority of your ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or your ESG/RI certified or labelled assets, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external investment managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

- ☒ (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)
- ☒ (B) Industry-specific and asset class-specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)
- ☒ (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)
- ☒ (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered
- ☒ (E) ESG objectives of individual funds
- ☐ (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance
- ☒ (G) Our stewardship approach
- ☐ (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)
- ☐ (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction
- ☒ (J) A list of our main investments and holdings
- ☒ (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)
- ☐ (L) We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or our ESG/RI certified or labelled assets

## Information disclosed – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 49	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – All assets	6

For the majority of your total assets under management, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

- ☒ (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)
- ☒ (B) Industry-specific and asset class-specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)
- ☒ (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)
- ☒ (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered
- ☒ (E) ESG objectives of individual funds
- ☐ (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance
- ☒ (G) Our stewardship approach
- ☐ (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)
- ☐ (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction
- ☒ (J) A list of our main investments and holdings
- ☒ (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)
- ☐ (L) We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our assets under management

## Confidence-building measures

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 52	CORE	OO 16.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

What verification has your organisation had regarding the information you have provided in your PRI Transparency Report this year?

- ☐ (A) We received third-party independent assurance of selected processes and/or data related to our responsible investment processes, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- ☐ (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls/governance or processes to be able to conduct an external assurance next year
- ☒ (C) The internal audit function team performed an independent audit of selected processes/and or data related to our responsible investment processes reported in this PRI report

- ☒ (D) Our board, CEO, other C-level equivalent and/or investment committee has signed off on our PRI report
- ☐ (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products (excluding ESG/RI certified or labelled assets)
- ☐ (G) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to check that our funds comply with our RI policy (e.g. exclusion list or investee companies in portfolio above certain ESG rating)
- ☐ (H) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- ☐ (I) Responses related to our RI practices documented in this report have been internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- ☐ (J) None of the above

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 56	CORE	OO 14, ISP 52	N/A	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

**What responsible investment processes and/or data were audited by internal auditors/outsourced internal auditors?**

(A) Investment and stewardship policy

(2) Data assured

(B) Manager selection, appointment and monitoring

(3) Processes and related data assured

(C) Listed equity

(2) Data assured

# Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring (SAM)

## Selection

### Responsible investment policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 2	CORE	OO 11	SAM 2.1	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1, 4

During the reporting year, did your organisation include compliance with your responsible investment policy as a pre-requisite when selecting external managers? (If you did not select any external managers during the reporting year, refer to the last reporting year in which you did select external managers.)

	(1) Yes, only when selecting external managers of ESG/sustainability funds	(2) Yes, when selecting external managers of ESG/sustainability funds and mainstream funds (This option also applies to signatories who may not hold ESG/sustainability funds)	(3) We did not include compliance with our responsible investment policy as a pre-requisite when selecting external managers
(A) Listed equity (active)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(C) Fixed income (active)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 2.1	CORE	SAM 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1,4

**In what proportion of cases did your organisation include compliance with your responsible investment policy as a pre-requisite when selecting external managers?**

**(1) Listed equity (active)**

(B) When selecting external managers of ESG/sustainability funds and mainstream funds (1) in all cases

**(3) Fixed income (active)**

(B) When selecting external managers of ESG/sustainability funds and mainstream funds (1) in all cases

## Research and screening

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 3	CORE	OO 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Research and screening	1

**When selecting external managers, which aspects of their organisation do you, or the investment consultant acting on your behalf, assess against responsible investment criteria? (Per asset class, indicate the proportion of your AUM to which each of these selection practices applies, regardless of when you selected your different external managers.)**

**(1) Listed equity (active)**

**(3) Fixed income (active)**

(A) Firm culture (1) for all of our externally managed AUM (1) for all of our externally managed AUM

(B) Investment approach, objectives and philosophy (1) for all of our externally managed AUM (1) for all of our externally managed AUM

(C) Investment policy or guidelines	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(D) Governance structure and management oversight, including diversity	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(E) Investment strategy and fund structure	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(F) Investment team competencies	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(G) Other, please specify:	<div> <div></div> <div>PRI signatory</div> </div> (1) for all of our externally managed AUM	<div> <div></div> <div>PRI Signatory</div> </div> (1) for all of our externally managed AUM

## Investment practices

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 4	CORE	OO 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment practices	1

**Which responsible investment practices does your organisation, or the investment consultants acting on your behalf, require as part of your external manager selection criteria? (Per asset class, indicate the proportion of your AUM to which each of these selection practices applies, regardless of when you selected your different external managers.) As part of the selection criteria, we require that external managers:**

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(3) Fixed income (active)
(A) Incorporate material ESG factors in all of their investment analyses and decisions	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(B) Incorporate their own responsible investment policy into their asset allocation decisions	(4) for none of our externally managed AUM	(4) for none of our externally managed AUM



(C) Have adequate resources and processes to analyse ESG factors	(2) for the majority of our externally managed AUM	(2) for the majority of our externally managed AUM
(D) Incorporate material ESG factors throughout their portfolio construction	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(E) Engage with underlying portfolio assets to address ESG risks and opportunities	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(F) Comply with their own exclusions policy	(4) for none of our externally managed AUM	(4) for none of our externally managed AUM
(G) Embed ESG considerations in contractual documentation	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(H) Implement adequate disclosure and accountability mechanisms	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(I) Are willing to work in partnership with our organisation to develop their responsible investment approach	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(J) Track the positive and negative sustainability outcomes of their activities	(2) for the majority of our externally managed AUM	(2) for the majority of our externally managed AUM
(K) Other, please specify:	<div>Be PRI signatories and be willing to enforce our carbon reduction plan</div> <div>(1) for all of our externally managed AUM</div>	<div>Be PRI signatories and be willing to enforce our carbon reduction plan</div> <div>(1) for all of our externally managed AUM</div>

# Stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 6	CORE	OO	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	2

How does your organisation, or the investment consultants acting on your behalf, assess the stewardship policies of investment managers during the selection process? (Per asset class, indicate the proportion of your AUM to which each of these selection practices applies, regardless of when you selected your different external managers.)

## (3) Fixed income (active)

(A) We assess the degree to which their stewardship policy aligns with ours	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
---	---

(B) We require that their stewardship policy prioritises systemic issues	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
--	---

(C) We require that their stewardship policy prioritises ESG factors beyond corporate governance	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
--	---

(D) We require that their stewardship policy allows for and encourages the use of a variety of stewardship tools	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
--	---

(E) We require that their stewardship policy allows for and encourages participation in collaborative initiatives	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
---	---

(F) We require that their stewardship policy includes adequate escalation strategies for instances where initial efforts are unsuccessful

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

(G) Other, please specify: ☐ nothing else

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 7	CORE	OO	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	2

**How does your organisation, or the investment consultants acting on your behalf, assess the stewardship practices of external managers as part of the selection process? (Per asset class, indicate the proportion of your AUM to which each of these selection practices applies, regardless of when you selected your different external managers.)**

**(3) Fixed income (active)**

(A) We assess whether they allocate sufficient resources to stewardship overall

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

(B) We assess whether they allocate sufficient resources for systemic stewardship

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

(C) We assess the degree of implementation of their stewardship policy

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

(D) We assess whether their investment team is involved in stewardship activities

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

---

(E) We assess whether stewardship actions and results are fed back into the investment process and decisions

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

---

(F) We assess whether they make full use of a variety of tools to advance their stewardship priorities

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

---

(G) We assess whether they deploy their escalation process to advance their stewardship priorities where initial efforts are unsuccessful

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

---

(H) We assess whether they participate in collaborative stewardship initiatives

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

---

(I) We assess whether they take an active role in their participation in collaborative stewardship initiatives

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

---

(J) Other, please specify:

☐ nothing else

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

---

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 9	CORE	OO 11 and OO 9 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	2

Which stewardship practices does your organisation, or the investment consultants acting on your behalf, assess when selecting external managers that invest in fixed income? (Per strategy, indicate the proportion of your AUM to which each of these selection practices applies, regardless of when you selected your different external managers.)

(1) Fixed income (active)

(A) We assess whether they engage with issuers in the context of refinancing operations to advance ESG factors beyond governance (1) for all of our externally managed AUM

(B) We assess whether they engage with issuers in the context of refinancing operations to advance systemic issues (1) for all of our externally managed AUM

(C) We assess whether they prioritise ESG factors beyond governance in case of credit events (1) for all of our externally managed AUM

(D) We assess whether they prioritise systemic issues in case of credit events (1) for all of our externally managed AUM

## Documentation and track record

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 11	CORE	OO 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Documentation and track record	1

As part of your selection process, which documents does your organisation, or the investment consultants acting on your behalf, review to gain confidence in external managers' responsible investment practices? (Indicate the proportion of your AUM to which each of these selection practices applies, regardless of when you selected your different external managers.)

(A) Standard client reporting, responsible investment reports or impact reports	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
---	---

(B) Responsible investment methodology and its influence on past investment decisions	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
---	---

(C) Historical voting and engagement activities with investees	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
--	---

(D) Historical engagement activities with policymakers	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
--	---

(E) Compliance manuals and portfolios to ensure universal construction rules are applied (e.g. exclusions, thematic, best-in-class definitions and thresholds)	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
--	---

(F) Controversies and incidence reports	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
---	---

(G) Code of conduct or codes of ethics	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
--	---

(H) Other, please specify: <div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">nothing else</div>	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
--	---

# Appointment

## Pooled funds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 12	CORE	OO 12, OO 5.1, OO 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Pooled funds	4

**How did your organisation, or the investment consultants acting on your behalf, include responsible investment requirements for pooled funds in your current contracts with external managers? (Indicate the proportion of your AUM invested in pooled funds to which each of these requirements applies, regardless of when you appointed your different external managers.)**

(A) We amended or instituted side letters or equivalent legal documentation to include responsible investment requirements

(1) for all of our AUM invested in pooled funds

(B) We encouraged the external manager to include responsible investment requirements into the investment mandate, the investment management agreement or equivalent legal documentation

(1) for all of our AUM invested in pooled funds

## Segregated mandates

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 13	CORE	OO 12, OO 5.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Segregated mandates	4

**When setting up segregated mandates with external managers, which responsible investment clauses did your organisation, or the investment consultants acting on your behalf, include in your current contractual agreements? (Indicate the proportion of your AUM invested in segregated funds to which each of these requirements applies, regardless of when you appointed your different external managers.)**

(A) The manager's commitment to follow our responsible investment strategy in the management of our assets

(1) for all of our AUM invested in segregated mandates

(B) The manager's commitment to incorporate material ESG factors into its investment and stewardship activities

(1) for all of our AUM invested in segregated mandates

(C) Exclusion list(s)

(3) for a minority of our AUM invested in segregated mandates

(D) Responsible investment communication and reporting obligations, including on stewardship activities and results	(1) for all of our AUM invested in segregated mandates
(E) Stewardship commitments in line with the PRI's guidance and focused on seeking sustainability outcomes and prioritising common goals and collaborative action	(1) for all of our AUM invested in segregated mandates
(F) Where applicable, commitment to fulfil a clear policy on security lending aligned with our own security lending policy or with the ICGN Securities Lending Code of Best Practice	(1) for all of our AUM invested in segregated mandates
(G) Incentives and controls to ensure alignment of interests	(1) for all of our AUM invested in segregated mandates
(H) Commitments on climate-related disclosure in line with internationally recognised frameworks such as the TCFD	(2) for the majority of our AUM invested in segregated mandates
(I) If applicable, commitment to disclose against the EU Taxonomy	(2) for the majority of our AUM invested in segregated mandates
(J) Commitment to respect human rights as defined in the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights	(1) for all of our AUM invested in segregated mandates
(K) The manager's acknowledgement that their appointment was conditional on their fulfilment of their responsible investment obligations	(1) for all of our AUM invested in segregated mandates
(L) Other, please specify: <div>■ nothing else</div>	(1) for all of our AUM invested in segregated mandates



# Monitoring

## Investment practices

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 14	CORE	OO 13	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment practices	1

During the reporting year, which aspects of your external manager's responsible investment practices did you, or your investment consultant acting on your behalf, monitor?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(3) Fixed income (active)
(A) We monitored their alignment with our organisation's responsible investment strategy	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(B) We monitored any changes in their responsible investment-related policies, resourcing, oversight and responsibilities or investment processes	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(C) We monitored their use of ESG data, benchmarks, tools and certifications	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(D) We monitored how ESG incorporation affected investment decisions	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(E) We monitored how ESG incorporation affected the fund's financial and ESG performance	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

(F) We monitored any changes in ESG risk management processes	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(G) We monitored their response to material ESG incidents	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(H) Other, please specify:	<div> <div></div> <div>nothing else</div> </div> (1) for all of our externally managed AUM	<div> <div></div> <div>nothing else</div> </div> (1) for all of our externally managed AUM

## Stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 17	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	1, 2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation, or the investment consultants acting on your behalf, monitor your external managers' stewardship activities?

### (3) Fixed income (active)

(A) We monitored any changes in stewardship policies and processes	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(B) We monitored the degree of implementation of their stewardship policy	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(C) We monitored their prioritisation of systemic issues	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(D) We monitored their prioritisation of ESG factors beyond corporate governance	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

(E) We monitored their investment team's level of involvement in stewardship activities

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

---

(F) We monitored whether stewardship actions and results were fed back into the investment process and investment decisions

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

---

(G) We monitored whether they had made full use of a variety of stewardship tools to advance their stewardship priorities

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

---

(H) We monitored the deployment of their escalation process in cases where initial stewardship efforts were unsuccessful

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

---

(I) We monitored whether they had participated in collaborative stewardship initiatives

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

---

(J) We monitored the degree to which they had taken an active role in their participation in collaborative stewardship initiatives

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

---

(K) Other, please specify:

☐ nothing else

(1) for all of our externally managed AUM

---

## Review

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 20	CORE	OO 13	N/A	PUBLIC	Review	1

During the reporting year, how often did your organisation, or the investment consultants acting on your behalf, require your external managers to report to you on their responsible investment practices?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(3) Fixed income (active)
(A) Quarterly or more often	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(B) Every six months	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(C) Annually	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM	(1) for all of our externally managed AUM
(D) Less than once a year	(4) for none of our externally managed AUM	(4) for none of our externally managed AUM
(E) On an ad hoc basis (e.g. whenever significant changes, incidents or ESG-linked events occur)	(3) for a minority of our externally managed AUM	(3) for a minority of our externally managed AUM

## Engagement and escalation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 22	CORE	OO 13	N/A	PUBLIC	Engagement and escalation	1

Which actions does your organisation, or the investment consultants acting on your behalf, include in its formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(3) Fixed income (active)
(A) We notify the external manager about their placement on a watch list	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) We engage the external manager's board or investment committee	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) We reduce exposure with the external manager until any non-conformances have been rectified	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) We terminate the contract with the external manager if failings persist over a (notified) period of time and explain the reasons for the termination	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Other, please specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(F) Our organisation does not have a formal escalation process to address concerns raised by monitoring	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

# Listed Equity (LE)

## Stewardship

### Voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 15.1, LE 16	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

**Does your organisation have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy? (The policy may be a standalone policy, part of a stewardship policy or incorporated into a wider RI policy.)**

☒ (A) Yes, we have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy Add link(s):

[https://www.ferique.com/-/media/04-Investissement-responsable/Documents---Investissement-responsable/Politique-de-droits-de-vote---Juillet-2020\\_FINAL.pdf](https://www.ferique.com/-/media/04-Investissement-responsable/Documents---Investissement-responsable/Politique-de-droits-de-vote---Juillet-2020_FINAL.pdf)

☐ (B) Yes, we have a (proxy) voting policy, but it is not publicly available

☐ (C) No, we do not have a (proxy) voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15.1	CORE	OO 9 LE, LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

**What percentage of your listed equity assets does your (proxy) voting policy cover?**

(A) Actively managed listed equity covered by our voting policy

(12) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 16	CORE	LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

**Does your organisation's policy on (proxy) voting cover specific ESG factors?**

☒ (A) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific governance factors Describe:

Governance has always been a vastly covered topic in Gestion FÉRIQUE's proxy voting policy.

☒ (B) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific environmental factors Describe:

A specific section of our proxy voting policy covers both environmental and social factors. For environment, two topics are covered: disclosure and climate change.

☒ (C) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific social factors Describe:

A specific section of our proxy voting policy covers both environmental and social factors. For social, several topics are covered e.g. labor rights, relations with communities, dangerous products and international operations

☐ (D) Our policy is high-level and does not cover specific ESG factors Describe:

## Alignment & effectiveness

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 17	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Alignment & effectiveness	2

**When you use external service providers to give voting recommendations, how do you ensure that those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?**

(A) We review service providers' controversial and high-profile voting recommendations before voting is executed

(4) in no cases

(B) Before voting is executed, we review service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear

(1) in all cases

## Security lending policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 18	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 18.1, LE 18.2	PUBLIC	Security lending policy	2

Does your organisation have a public policy that states how voting is addressed in your securities lending programme? (The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI or stewardship policy.)

- (A) We have a public policy to address voting in our securities lending programme. Add link(s):
- (B) We have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- (C) We rely on the policy of our service provider(s)
- (D) We do not have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) Not applicable, we do not have a securities lending programme

## Shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 19	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Shareholder resolutions	2

Which of the following best describes your decision-making approach regarding shareholder resolutions, or that of your service provider(s) if decision-making is delegated to them?

- (A) In the majority of cases, we support resolutions that, if passed, are expected to advance progress on the underlying ESG factors or on our stewardship priorities
- (B) In the majority of cases, we support resolutions that, if passed, are expected to advance progress on the underlying ESG factors but only if the investee company has not already committed publicly to the action requested in the proposal
- (C) In the majority of cases, we only support shareholder resolutions as an escalation tactic when other avenues for engagement with the investee company have not achieved sufficient progress
- (D) In the majority of cases, we support the recommendations of investee company management by default
- (E) In the majority of cases, we do not vote on shareholder resolutions



## Pre-declaration of votes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 20	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Pre-declaration of votes	2

**How did your organisation or your service provider(s) pre-declare votes prior to AGMs/EGMs?**

- ☐ (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system
- ☐ (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly (e.g. through our own website) Link to public disclosure:
- ☐ (C) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- ☐ (D) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain Link to public disclosure:
- ☐ (E) Prior to the AGM/EGM, we privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies in cases where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- ☒ (F) **We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions**
- ☐ (G) We did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

## Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 21	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 21.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

**Do you publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions, or those made on your behalf by your service provider(s), in a central source?**

- ☒ (A) **Yes, for >95% of (proxy) votes** Link:  
<https://www.ferique.com/-/media/04-Investissement-responsable/Documents---Investissement-responsable/Historique-Rapports-de-vote/Rapports-amendes/2020-Q4-RAPPORT-DE-VOTE.pdf>
- ☐ (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes Link:
- ☐ (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes 1) Add link and 2) Explain why you only publicly disclose a minority of (proxy) voting decisions:
- ☐ (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions Explain why you do not publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 21.1	CORE	LE 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

**In the majority of cases, how soon after an investee's AGM/EGM do you publish your voting decisions?**

- ☐ (A) Within one month of the AGM/EGM
- ☐ (B) Within three months of the AGM/EGM
- ☐ (C) Within six months of the AGM/EGM
- ☒ (D) **Within one year of the AGM/EGM**
- ☐ (E) More than one year after the AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 22	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 22.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

**Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions?**

- ☐ (A) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was provided privately to the company
- ☒ (B) **In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was disclosed publicly**
- ☐ (C) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, we did not communicate the rationale
- ☐ (D) We did not vote against management or abstain

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 22.1	CORE	LE 22	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

**Indicate the proportion of votes where you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicated the rationale for your voting decisions.**

(B) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was disclosed publicly

(5) >95%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 23	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 23.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2, 5

Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions when voting against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory?

- ☒ (A) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was disclosed publicly
- ☐ (B) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was not disclosed publicly
- ☐ (C) We did not vote against any shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 23.1	CORE	LE 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2, 5

Indicate the proportion of votes where you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicated the rationale for your voting decisions.

(A) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was disclosed publicly (5) >95%