



RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2019

Gestion FÉRIQUE





About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2019 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
&	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ъ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



Principles Index



Organisa	isational Overview						cip	le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		-	n/a							
00 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	~	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							√
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Public							✓
80 OO	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Public							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	8	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	8	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	8	n/a							✓
00 PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	8	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	8	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	8	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrate	CCStrategy and Governance				Principle				General	
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	8	n/a							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Public							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Public							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	8	n/a							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Public							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Public	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Public				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		8	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Public	~						
SG 14 CC		8	n/a							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Public	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Public							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring					Principle					General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 02	Selection processes (LE and FI)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 03	Evaluating engagement and voting practices in manager selection (listed equity/fixed income)	8	n/a		~					
SAM 04	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	8	n/a	~						
SAM 05	Monitoring processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	~						
SAM 06	Monitoring on active ownership (listed equity/fixed income)	8	n/a	~						
SAM 07	Percentage of (proxy) votes	8	n/a		✓					
SAM 08	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Public	~						
SAM 09	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Public	~					✓	
SAM End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership					Principle					General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures					Principle					General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	8	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	8	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	8	n/a							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							



Gestion FÉRIQUE

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Basic information 00 01 **Mandatory Public** General **Gateway/Peering** 00 01.1 Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide. Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan O Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan Insurance company ○ Foundation O Endowment O Development finance institution O Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund O Family office Other, specify

Gestion FÉRIQUE is split in 2 organizations:

Additional information. [Optional]

- FERIQUE INVESTMENT SERVICES is a MUTUAL FUND BROKER AND FINANCIAL PLANNING FIRMThe
 organization offers clients of the FÉRIQUE Funds all types of accounts required for sound financial planning
 (TSFA, RRSP, RESP, RRIF, LIRA, investment accounts, etc.) and personalized advisory services at no extra
 cost, with a team of professionals consisting of mutual fund representatives and financial planners.
- FERIQUE FUND MANAGEMENT is a FUND MANAGERThe mutual funds offered include all the asset
 classes required for sound diversification, with management fees that are among the lowest in Canada's
 investment fund industry.* The funds are managed by globally renowned external portfolio managers who are
 given specialized mandates by FERIQUE Fund Management. The organization includes responsible
 investment as a complement to its overall approach to risk management.

OO 02	Mar	ndatory	Public	Peering	General
00 02	.1	Select the location of your organisa	tion's headquarters	3.	

Canada

00 01.3



OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

② 1
② 2-5
③ 6-10
③ >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

66

OO 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive G

00 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

○ Yes

No

OO 04 Mandatory Public Gateway/Peering General

00 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2018

00 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		2	586	000	000
Currency	CAD				
Assets in USD		1	956	267	260

 $\hfill\square$ Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 06	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------



General

as percentage breakdown

as percentage breakdown	I	
	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	64
Fixed income	0	32
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	4
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

O as broad ranges

00.06.2	 Publish asset clas 	ss mix as per:	attached image	[Ontional]

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

 $\bigcirc \ \mathsf{Yes}$

No



OO 06.5

Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- O Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Public Gateway General 00 07 **Disclose** Provide to the nearest 5% the percentage breakdown of your Fixed Income AUM at the end of your 00 07.1 reporting year, using the following categories. **Externally** SSA managed 60 Corporate (financial) 20 Corporate (non-financial) 15 Securitised 5 Total 100% 00 08 **Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Public** General **Peering Disclose**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

00 08.1

Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed assets between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.



Asset class breakdown	Segregated mandate(s)	Pooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)	Total of the asset class (each row adds up to 100%)
[a] Listed equity	○ 0%○ <10%○ 10-50%● >50 %	○ 0%● <10%○ 10-50%○ >50 %	100%
[b] Fixed income - SSA	○ 0%○ <10%○ 10-50%● >50 %	● 0%○ <10%○ 10-50%○ >50 %	100%
[c] Fixed income – Corporate (financial)	○ 0%○ <10%○ 10-50%● >50 %	● 0%○ <10%○ 10-50%○ >50 %	100%
[d] Fixed income – Corporate (non-financial)	○ 0%○ <10%○ 10-50%● >50 %	● 0%○ <10%○ 10-50%○ >50 %	100%
[e] Fixed income – Securitised	○ 0%○ <10%○ 10-50%● >50 %	0%<10%10-50%>50 %	100%
[n] Cash	○ 0%○ <10%○ 10-50%● >50 %	0%<10%10-50%>50 %	100%

OO 09	Ma	ndatory	Public	Peering	General	
00	0 09.1	Indicate the breakdown of your orga	ndicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.			
		Developed Markets				
	95					
		Emerging Markets				
	5					



		Frontier Markets			
	0				
		Other Markets			
	0				
		Total 100%			
	100%				
	Asset clas	s implementation gateway	indicators		
00 10	Mai	ndatory	Public	Gateway	General
	00 10.1	Select the active ownership a	activities your organisatio	on implemented in the reporting y	ear.
		Listed equity – engagemen	nt		
	☑ We en	gage with companies on ESG fa	actors via our staff, collab	porations or service providers.	
		quire our external managers to e not engage directly and do not		on ESG factors on our behalf. ers to engage with companies on	ESG factors.
		Listed equity – voting			
	☑ We cas	st our (proxy) votes directly or vi	ia dedicated voting provi	ders	
		quire our external managers to v			
	□ We do	not cast our (proxy) votes direc	tly and do not require ex	ternal managers to vote on our be	ehalf
		Fixed income SSA – eng	agement		
	☑ We	engage with SSA bond issuers	on ESG factors via our s	taff, collaborations or service prov	viders.
	\square We require our external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors on our behalf.				
		do not engage directly and do n actors. Please explain why you o		gers to engage with SSA bond is	suers on
		Fixed income Corporate	(financial) – engagemen	t	
	☑ We	engage with companies on ESG	G factors via our staff, col	llaborations or service providers.	
	□We	require our external managers t	o engage with companie	s on ESG factors on our behalf.	
		do not engage directly and do n . Please explain why you do not		gers to engage with companies of	on ESG



			Fixed income Corporate (non-fi	inancial) – engager	ment		
		☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.					
	$\hfill\square$ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.						
		$\hfill\square$ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.					
			Fixed income Corporate (secur	itised) – engageme	ent		
		☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.					
	☐ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.						
$\hfill\Box$ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companisations. Please explain why you do not.		gers to engage with companies or	n ESG				
00 11		Manda	itory	Public	Gateway	General	
	00	11.2	Select the externally managed assented address ESG incorporation in your processes.				



Fixed income - SSA Fixed income - corporate (financial) Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)	Listed equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager
Fixed income - corporate (financial) Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) Fixed income - securitised	
Fixed income - corporate (financial) Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) Fixed income - securitised	selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Fixed income - SSA Fixed income - corporate (financial) Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) Fixed income - securitised	Ve incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
Fixed income - SSA Fixed income - corporate (financial) Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) Fixed income - securitised	Ve incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
Fixed income - SSA Fixed income - corporate (financial) Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) Fixed income - securitised	Ve incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
Fixed income - corporate (financial) Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) Fixed income - securitised	Ve do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - corporate (financial) Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) Fixed income - securitised	Fixed income - SSA - ESG incorporation addressed in your external
Fixed income - corporate (financial) Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) Fixed income - securitised	manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Fixed income - corporate (financial) Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) Fixed income - securitised	Ve incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
Fixed income - corporate (financial) Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) Fixed income - securitised	Ve incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
Fixed income - corporate (financial) V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Ve incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
(financial) V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Ve do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)	
Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)	Fixed income - corporate (financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)	Ve incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)	Ve incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)	Ve incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
(non-financial)	Ve do not do ESG incorporation
✓ V □ V □ V □ V Fixed income - securitised	
□ W □ W □ W Fixed income - securitised	Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Fixed income - securitised	Ve incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
Fixed income - securitised	Ve incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
Fixed income - securitised	Ve incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	Ve do not do ESG incorporation
✓ V	
☑ V	Fixed income - securitised - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	Ve incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
□V	Ve incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
☑ V	Ve incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
□V	Ve do not do ESG incorporation
Cash	



	Cash - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
☑ We inco	orporate ESG into our external manager selection process
□ We inco	orporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
☑ We inco	orporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
□ We do	not do ESG incorporation

00 11.4

Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

- Selection: Gestion FÉRIQUE encourages its investment managers to consider environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors in its investment decision-making processes in order to effectively and comprehensively manage all of the risks associated with an investment. As such, Gestion FÉRIQUE considers the degree to which its investment managers incorporate ESG into their investment analyses in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.
- Appointment :Not considered

•

- Monitoring :Reporting of ESG risks for the equity mandates have been integrated in our biannual performance and risk report. We track the trend of the Sustainalystics score for our Funds and compare it to the industry.
- We also conduct an annual survey of all our portfolio managers to assess their progress in integrating ESG considerations into the investment management process.
- During our due diligence process, we also meet and report on the ESG team of our portfolios managers.

•

00 12		Man	datory	Public	Gateway	General	
	00 12.1		Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.				
			Core modules				
	✓O	rganis	ational Overview				
			y and Governance				
			RI implementation directly or via s	service providers			
			Direct - Listed Equity active own	nership			
	☑ Enga		gements				
	☑ (Prox		sy) voting				



RI implementation via external managers

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- ☑ Listed Equities
- ☑ Fixed income SSA
- ☑ Fixed income Corporate (financial)
- ☑ Fixed income Corporate (non-financial)
- ☑ Fixed income Securitised

Closing module

☑ Closing module

Peering questions

Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General
Districts			

00 SAM 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your externally managed listed equities and fixed income by passive, active quant and, active fundamental and other active strategies.



Listed equity (LE)	
	Passive
	0
	Active - quantitative (quant)
	0
	Active - fundamental and active - other
	100
	100%
Fixed income - SSA	
	Passive
	0
	Active - quantitative (quant)
	0
	Active - fundamental and active - other
	100
	100%
Fixed income - Corporate	
(financial)	Passive
	0
	Active - quantitative (quant)
	0
	Active - fundamental and active - other
	100
	100%
Fixed income - Corporate	
(non-financial)	Passive
	0
	Active - quantitative (quant)
	0

	Active - fundamental and active - other
	100
	100%
Fixed income - Securitised	
	Passive
	0
	Active - quantitative (quant)
	0
	Active - fundamental and active - other
	100
	100%

Gestion FÉRIQUE

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Investment policy

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	Applicable policies cover all AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	O Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☐ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties	
☐ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☐ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☐ Screening / exclusions policy	
☑ Engagement policy	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	



SG 01.3	Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following		
☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments			
	stment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account		
	zon of your investment		
	☑ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities		
☑ ESG inco	☑ ESG incorporation approaches		
	☑ Active ownership approaches		
☑ Reporting	☑ Reporting		
☐ Climate c	☐ Climate change		
☐ Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences			
☐ Other RI	☐ Other RI considerations, specify (1)		

SG 01.4

☐ Other RI considerations, specify (2)

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Our Policy is based on three basic principles. Our first tool, Proxy Voting, enables shareholders to have a positive impact on investee companies. We also encourage, without giving directives, that our external managers emphasize ESG analysis in their stock selection and risk management process. Finally, we encourage companies to improve their ESG practices by participating at some initiatives or collaborations with other stakeholders.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

The purpose of this Policy is to define a clear, flexible framework enabling FERIQUE to incorporate responsible investment considerations into its fund management, taking into account the markets where it invests.

With this Policy, FERIQUE intends to make a credible, effective contribution to improving the social balance sheets of businesses, with achievement of the target returns and risk limits of the FERIQUE Funds being its first objective.

○ No

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

SG 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 6

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

☑ Policy setting out your overall approach



U	RL/Attachment
☑ URL	
	URL
U	RL/Attachment
☑ URL	
	URL
☐ Attachment (ps://www.ferique.com/en/ferique-funds/documents/proxy-voting/} will be made public) v disclose our investment policy documents
	te if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an ment of the document.
☑ Your organisation	s definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
U	RL/Attachment
☑ URL	
	URL
☐ Attachment	ps://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/responsible-investment-policy/} bjectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
U	RL/Attachment
☑ URL	
	URL
{hyperlink:htt	ps://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/responsible-investment-policy/}
☐ Attachment ☐ Time horizon of you	pur investment



		URL/Attachment
'	☑ URL	
		URL
	{hyperlinl	c:https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/responsible-investment-policy/}
V		tructure of organisational ESG responsibilities
		URL/Attachment
,	☑ URL	
		URL
	{hyperlinl	c:https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/responsible-investment-policy/} ent
V	ESG incorpora	ation approaches
		URL/Attachment
	☑ URL	
		URL
	{hyperlinl	c:https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/responsible-investment-policy/}
\checkmark	Active owners	hip approaches
		URL/Attachment
,	☑ URL	
		URL
	{hyperlinl ☐ Attachme	c:https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/responsible-investment-policy/} ent
\checkmark	Reporting	
		URL/Attachment
	☑ URL	



URL

{hyperlink:https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/responsible-investment-policy/}

□ Attachment

☐ We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Gestion FÉRIQUE deals with potential conflicts of interest in the investment process by following relevant securities regulations, specifically the National Instrument 81-107 and 31-103.

 \bigcirc No

SG 04 Voluntary Public Descriptive General

SG 04.1

Indicate if your organisation has a process for identifying and managing incidents that occur within portfolio companies.

Yes

 \bigcirc No

SG 04.2

Describe your process on managing incidents

We are following the news related to our holdings and assess our portfolio related to ESG issue on a quarterly basis with Sustainalytics. If there any incident or issue, we will have a conversation with the portfolio manager to know what he intends to do with the position in our portfolio. That could lead to a discussion with the company, hold or sell the position.

Objectives and strategies

SG 05 Mandatory Public Gateway/Core Assessed General



SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- O Quarterly or more frequently
- O Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- O Ad-hoc basis
- O It is not set/reviewed

SG 05.2

Additional information. [Optional]

Gestion FÉRIQUE sends its portfolio managers an annual survey regarding responsible investment in general and also how each manager incorporates ESG issues in to its investment decisions. The survey allows Gestion FÉRIQUE to do an evaluation of its portfolio managers. This evaluation generate follow-up questions and could generate new objectives.

Also, Gestion FÉRIQUE produces an annual plan regarding the responsible investment with the Investment Committee.

SG 06 Voluntary Public Descriptive General

SG 06.1

List the main responsible investment objectives that your organisation set for the reporting year.

Responsible investment processes

☑ Provide training on ESG incorporation

Key performance indicator

Produce a webinar on trend and issues in responsible investment and how Gestion FÉRIQUE manage them.

Progress achieved

Webinar available on our website

https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/our-approach-to-responsible-investment/

☑ Provide training on ESG engagement

Key performance indicator

Produce a webinar on trend and issues in responsible investment and how Gestion FÉRIQUE manage them.

Progress achieved

Webinar available on our website

https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/our-approach-to-responsible-investment/



☑ Improved communication of ESG activities within the organisation

Key performance indicator

Post our PRI Transparency reports on our website.

Progress achieved

Our Transparency reports are available on our website.

https://www.ferique.com/en/investissement-responsable/transparency-reports-on-responsible-investment/

☑ Improved engagement to encourage change with regards to management of ESG issues

Key performance indicator

Continuing our relationship with our service provider to enhance our engagement activities

Progress achieved

Relationship maintained over a full calendar year.

☑ Improved ESG incorporation into investment decision making processes

Key performance indicator

Ongoing discussions with our managers so that they improve their ESG integration practices.

Progress achieved

Almost all of our managers are PRI signatories.

☑ Other, specify (1)

In our due diligence process, part of the monitoring process, add a formal ESG topics with our portfolios managers

Key performance indicator

In our due diligence process, part of the monitoring process, add a formal ESG topics with our portfolios managers

Progress achieved

ESG topics have been added to our aggenda for our due diligence with our portfolio managers.

☑ Other, specify (2)



other description (2)					
Add a dedicated resources to IR in our team					
Key performance indicator					
A resource has been added					
☑ Other, specify (3)					
other description (3)					
Send annual Survey on ESG issues and how they are managed to portfolio managers.					
Key performance indicator					
Survey responses from all managers received.					
□ None of the above					
Financial performance of investments					
☐ Increase portfolio performance by consideration of ESG factors					
☐ Other, specify (1)					
☐ Other, specify (2)					
☐ Other, specify (3)					
☑ None of the above					
ESG characteristics of investments					
☐ Over or underweight companies based on ESG characteristics					
☐ Improve ESG ratings of portfolio					
☐ Setting carbon reduction targets for portfolio					
☑ Other, specify (1)					
Other description (1)					
Monitor the ESG rating of our portfolios compare to our competitors on a quarterly basis.					
Key performance indicator					
Better assessment of our ESG risk.					
☐ Other, specify (2)					
☐ Other, specify (3)					
□ None of the above					
Other activities					

 $\ensuremath{\underline{\square}}$ Joining and/or participation in RI initiatives



	Key performance indicator					
1 initia	1 initiative					
Progress achieved						
Gestio	ment on forced labor.					
□ Encou	raging others to join a RI initiative					
	nentation of best practice case studie		corporation to clients			
w Using	case studies to demonstrate engage	inent and ESG if	corporation to clients			
	Key performance indicator					
Webina studies	ar on the impact of our responsible in s is available on our website	nvestment initiativ	res on companies and on perfori	mance with case		
	Progress achieved					
Webin	ar is available on our website.					
https:/	//www.forigue.com/on/invocticcomon	t rosponsable/ou	r approach to recognible inves	tmont/		
nups./	//www.ferique.com/en/investissemen	t-responsable/ou	-approacti-to-responsible-litves	unenv		
☐ Other,	specify (1)					
☐ Other,	specify (2)					
☐ Other,	specify (3)					
□ None	of the above					
overnan	ce and human resources					
o roman	oo ana maman 1000a 000					
Ма	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	General		
07.1	Indicate the internal and/or extern they have oversight and/or impler					
	they have oversight and/or impler	nentation respon	sibilities for responsible investine	ziii.		
	Roles					
☑ Board	☑ Board members or trustees					
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment						
□Ir	☐ Implementation of responsible investment					
□N	$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment					
✓ Internal	☑ Internal Roles (triggers other options)					



SG 07

Select from the below internal roles

	Number				
SG 07.3	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.				
Excours the Engagements/initiatives policy and report to the investment committee.					
Review service provider proxy voting execution in line with the proxy voting policy. Execute the Engagements/Initiatives policy and report to the Investment Committee.					
	Team and a report is written and presented to the Investment Committee.				
	stionnaire is sent to our external managers and discussed with them. Answers are then reviewed by				
SG 07.2	For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.				
□ No	o oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment				
☑ Im	plementation of responsible investment				
□ O ₁	versight/accountability for responsible investment				
	al managers or service providers				
□ Ot	ther role, specify (2)				
□ Ot	ther role, specify (1)				
□ In	vestor relations				
	\square No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment				
	☑ Implementation of responsible investment				
	☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment				
☑ De	edicated responsible investment staff				
	☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment				
	☑ Implementation of responsible investment				
	☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment				
☑ In	vestment analysts				
□ Po	ortfolio managers				
	□ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment				
	✓ Implementation of responsible investment				
	✓ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment				
	rector, Investments				
☑ Ot	her Chief-level staff or head of department, specify				
	☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment				
	 ✓ Implementation of responsible investment 				
	 ✓ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment 				
	nief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), stment Committee				



I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07

SG 08	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	General		
SG 08.1 Indicate if your organisation's performance management, reward and/or personal development processes have a responsible investment element.						
	Board members/Board of trustees					
SG 08.1b RI in personal development and/or training plan						
	 □ Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan ☑ None of the above 					
Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Office Investment Committee				r (COO),		
SG 08.1a RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward						
 ☑ Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives ☑ Responsible investment included in appraisal process ☐ Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance ☐ None of the above 			ves			
s	G 08.1b RI in personal development an	nd/or training plan				
	 □ Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan ☑ None of the above 					
	Other C-level staff or head of dep	artment				
Direct	or, Investments					
SG 08.1a RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward						
 ☑ Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives ☑ Responsible investment included in appraisal process ☐ Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance ☐ None of the above 						
SG 08.1b RI in personal development and/or training plan						
 □ Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan ☑ None of the above 						



	Investment analysts		
SG 08.	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward		
36 00.	14 III Objectives, appraisal and/or reward		
☑ Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives			
☑ Resp	ponsible investment included in appraisal process		
☐ Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance			
□ None	e of the above		
SG 08.	RI in personal development and/or training plan		
□ Poor	ponsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan		
_	e of the above		
⊻ None	e of the above		
	Dedicated responsible investment staff		
SG 08.	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward		
•	ponsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives		
☑ Resp	ponsible investment included in appraisal process		
☐ Varia	able pay linked to responsible investment performance		
☐ None of the above			
SG 08.	RI in personal development and/or training plan		
☐ Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan			
☑ None	e of the above		
SG 08.4	Describe the level of experience board members/trustees/chief-level staff have with incorporating ESG factors into investment decision-making processes.		

On the Investment Committee board, there is a Senior Advisor on ESG issues for an important asset owner in Quebec.

Promoting responsible investment SG 09 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 4,5 Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Principles for Responsible Investment



		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)		
	☑ Basic			
	□ Moder	ate		
	☐ Advan	ced		
		Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]		
	Gestion FERIO engagements.	QUE uses the PRI Clearinghouse where it identifies opportunities to participate in collaborative		
	Asian Corpora	ate Governance Association		
	Australian Co	uncil of Superannuation Investors		
	AFIC – La Co	mmission ESG		
	BVCA – Resp	onsible Investment Advisory Board		
	CDP Climate	Change		
	CDP Forests			
	CDP Water			
	CFA Institute	Centre for Financial Market Integrity		
	Code for Resp	ponsible Investment in SA (CRISA)		
	Code for Resp	ponsible Finance in the 21st Century		
	Council of Inst	titutional Investors (CII)		
	Eumedion			
	Extractive Ind	ustries Transparency Initiative (EITI)		
	ESG Researc	h Australia		
	Invest Europe	Responsible Investment Roundtable		
	Global Investo	ors Governance Network (GIGN)		
	Global Impact	Investing Network (GIIN)		
	Global Real E	state Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)		
	Green Bond F	Principles		
	Institutional In	vestors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)		
	Interfaith Cent	er on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)		
	International C	Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)		
	□ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)			
	International I	ntegrated Reporting Council (IIRC)		
	Investor Netw	ork on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES		
	Local Authorit	y Pension Fund Forum		
	Principles for	Sustainable Insurance		
	□ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify			
	☐ Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance			
\checkmark	Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)			



	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)					
☑ Basic						
☐ Moderate						
☐ Advanced						
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the [Optional]						itiative.
	Gestion FERIQUE is an affiliate of SHARE.					
			s Environmental Program Finance	e Initiative (UNEP F	FI)	
			s Global Compact	,		
			ative organisation/initiative, speci			
	Ges	Stion FERIC	QUE is an active member of PRI	community in Queb	ec.	
			Your organisation's role in the i	nitiative during the	reporting year (see definitions)	
		☐ Basic				
		□ Moder	ate			
			ced			
	_					
	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]					itiative.
Gestion FÉRIQUE is present at local meetings. One of Gestion FÉRIQUE's employees is President of a committee responsible for finding new members. In his role, he has to regularly promote the PRI to potential signatories.						
	□ Oth	ner collabor	ative organisation/initiative, speci	fy		
		ner collabor	ative organisation/initiative, speci	fy		
	□ Oth	ner collabor	ative organisation/initiative, speci	fy		
SG 09.	.2	Mandate Disclose	ory to Report Voluntary to e	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
	Indicate approximately what percentage (+/- 5%) of your externally managed assets under management are managed by PRI signatories.					der
%						
	95					
	30					
SG 10		Mandate	ory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4



SG 10.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

☑ Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Annual client conference/Webinar

Frequency of contribution

Quarterly or more frequently
Biannually
Annually
Less frequently than annually
Ad hoc
Other

Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work

Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

Gestion FÉRIQUE published the PRI Transparency Report on its website.

Frequency of contribution

- O Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- \bigcirc Ad hoc
- Other
- $\ensuremath{\boxdot}$ Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

Description

Annual client conference/Webinar



	Frequency of contribution
O Quarter	ly or more frequently
O Biannua	ally
Annually	y
O Less fre	equently than annually
O Ad hoc	
Other	
☐ Wrote and pu	ublished in-house research papers on responsible investment
☑ Encouraged	the adoption of the PRI
	Description
	responsible investment through our communications with investment managers asking them dress ESG issues in their investment decision-making processes and by encouraging them to it.
	Frequency of contribution
O Quarter	ly or more frequently
O Biannua	ally
Annually	y
O Less fre	equently than annually
O Ad hoc	
Other	
☐ Responded t	o RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
☐ Wrote and pu	ublished articles on responsible investment in the media
☐ A member of	PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
☑ On the Board	d of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
	Description
The Vice Pre	sident, Investements is an active member of PRI community in Quebec.
	Frequency of contribution
○ Quarter	ly or more frequently
Biannua	ally
○ Annually	y
O 000 fro	
O Less fre	quently than annually
O Ad hoc	equently than annually
	equently than annually
O Ad hoc	



SG 11 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 4,5,6

SG 11.1

Indicate if your organisation - individually or in collaboration with others - conducted dialogue with public policy makers or regulators in support of responsible investment in the reporting year.

Yes

If yes

☐ Yes, individually

SG 11.2 Select the methods you have used.

 \square Endorsed written submissions to governments, regulators or public policy-makers developed by others

 $\hfill\square$ Drafted your own written submissions to governments, regulators or public-policy markers

 $\hfill \square$ Participated in face-to-face meetings with government members or officials to discuss policy

☑ Other, specify

specify description

Support its Shareholder Engagement service provider's initiative.

SG 11.3

Where you have made written submissions (individually or collaboratively) to governments and regulatory authorities, indicate if these are publicly available.

○ Yes, publicly available

No

○ No

SG 11.4

Provide a brief description of the main topics your organisation has engaged with public policymakers or regulators on.

Our shareholder engagement service provider submitted a notice as part of the consultation on the mid-term review of Canada's Light Duty Greenhouse Gas Emissions Regulation for the Model Years 2022 to 2025.

A letter from a group of signatories was sent to the four main political parties competing in Québec's general election to raise awareness on sustainable finance issues and to seek their positions on various relevant issues.

Signed the KnowTheChain investor statement.

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



	SG 12	.1	Indicate whether your organisation	on uses investmen	t consultants.	
	□ Yes	s, we us	se investment consultants			
	☑ No,	we do	not use investment consultants.			
	FSG	icellas	in asset allocation			
	LOG	issues	on asset anocation			
13	3	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
	SG 13	.1			ario analysis and/or modelling and, sector, strategic asset allocation,	
				sis (by asset class	, sector, strategic asset anocation,	eic.).
			sess future ESG factors			
			sess future climate-related risks ar			
	⊻ No,	not to	assess future ESG/climate-related	dissues		
ļ	1	Man	datory to Report Voluntary to	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
		Disc			1.0000000	
	SG 14	.1	Some investment risks and opportunity following are considered.	ortunities arise as a	result of long term trends. Indicate	which of the
			demographics			
		mate ch	_			
			scarcity			
		_	ical developments			
		er, spe				
		er, spe				
	⊔ NOI	ie of the	e above			
			Indicate which of the following ac	ctivities vou have u	ndertaken to respond to climate ch	ange risk and
	SG 14	.2	opportunity			
	□ Est	ablishe	d a climate change sensitive or cli	mate change integ	rated asset allocation strategy	
	□ Tar	geted lo	ow carbon or climate resilient inve	stments		
	□ Pha	ase out	your investments in your fossil fue	el holdings		
	□ Red	duced p	ortfolio exposure to emissions inte	ensive or fossil fuel	holdings	
	□ Use	ed emis	sions data or analysis to inform in	vestment decision	making	
	☐ Sou	ught clir	mate change integration by compa	nies		
	□ Sou	ught clir	mate supportive policy from govern	nments		
	☑ Oth	er, spe	cify			
			other description			

We have identified the need to evaluate how Gestion FÉRIQUE will address climate change and decarbonization.



SG 14.3	Indicate which of the following to opportunities.	ools the organisatio	on uses to manage climate-re	elated risks and										
☐ Scenario	o analysis													
☐ Disclosu	res on emissions risks to clients/tru	stees/managemen	t/beneficiaries											
☐ Climate-	related targets													
 □ Encouraging internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks □ Emissions-risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers □ Weighted average carbon intensity 														
										☐ Carbon	footprint (scope 1 and 2)			
										☐ Portfolio	carbon footprint			
☐ Total ca	rbon emissions													
☐ Carbon	intensity													
☐ Exposur	e to carbon-related assets													
☐ Other emissions metrics														
□ Other, s	pecify													
	•													
□ Other, s	the above													
☐ Other, s ☑ None of	the above Please explain why not													
☐ Other, s ☑ None of We ackr	the above		mpact on our portfolios. We a	are currently in t										
☐ Other, s ☑ None of We ackr process	Please explain why not nowledge that climate change will explain why not nowledge that climate change will explain the above		mpact on our portfolios. We a	·										
☐ Other, s ☑ None of We ackr process	Please explain why not nowledge that climate change will exof evaluating how we will address the andatory to Report Voluntary to	Public ocates assets to, or	Descriptive	PRI 1										
☐ Other, s ☑ None of We ackr process	Please explain why not nowledge that climate change will ever of evaluating how we will address the section of	Public ocates assets to, or	Descriptive	PRI 1										
☐ Other, s ☑ None of We ackr process Ma Di	Please explain why not nowledge that climate change will ever of evaluating how we will address the section of	Public ocates assets to, or	Descriptive	PRI 1										
☐ Other, s ☑ None of We ackr process Ma Di SG 15.1 ○ Yes	Please explain why not nowledge that climate change will ever of evaluating how we will address the section of	Public ocates assets to, or	Descriptive	PRI 1										
☐ Other, s ☑ None of We ackr process Ma Di SG 15.1 ○ Yes	Please explain why not nowledge that climate change will ever of evaluating how we will address the section of	Public ocates assets to, or	Descriptive	PRI 1										
□ Other, s □ None of We ackr process Ma Di SG 15.1 ○ Yes ● No	Please explain why not nowledge that climate change will ever of evaluating how we will address the section of	Public cates assets to, or ed areas.	Descriptive manages, funds based on s	PRI 1										
□ Other, s □ None of We ackr process Ma Di SG 15.1 ○ Yes ● No Asset cla	Please explain why not nowledge that climate change will exor evaluating how we will address the sclose Indicate if your organisation allowen environmental and social themes	Public cates assets to, or ed areas.	Descriptive manages, funds based on s	PRI 1										



Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Cash	We are using the same process as for fixed income.

Inn	211	$oldsymbol{n}$
	-	UI.

SG 18 Voluntary Public Descriptive General

SG 18.1

Indicate whether any specific features of your approach to responsible investment are particularly innovative.

○ Yes

No

Communication

SG 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Caution! The order in which asset classes are presented below has been updated in the online tool to match the Reporting Framework overview.

If you are transferring data from an offline document, please check your response carefully.

Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- \bigcirc We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public



Disclosure to clic	ents/beneficiaries					
Di	sclosure to clients/beneficiaries					
☐ Details on the o	overall engagement strategy					
	selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and					
✓ Number of engage	agements undertaken					
☐ Breakdown of e	engagements by type/topic					
☐ Breakdown of e	engagements by region					
☐ An assessment	t of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives					
☑ Examples of er	ngagement cases					
	ntual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing g a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.)					
☐ Details on whet	ther the provided information has been externally assured					
☐ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement						
☐ Other information	on					
Fr ☐ Quarterly or mo ☐ Biannually ☑ Annually ☐ Less frequently ☐ Ad-hoc/when re	than annually					
	Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting					
	Do you disclose?					
○ We do no	ot disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.					
○ We disclo	ose to clients/beneficiaries only.					
We disclo	ose to the public					



The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

YesNo

Disclosure to public and URL
Disabeton to multiple
Disclosure to public and URL
Disclose all voting decisions
O Disclose some voting decisions
Only disclose abstentions and votes against management
Frequency
☑ Quarterly or more frequently
□ Biannually
□ Annually
☐ Less frequently than annually
☐ Ad hoc/when requested
URL
ONE.
{hyperlink:https://www.ferique.com/fr/fonds-ferique/documents/vote-par-procuration-2015-2019/}

Gestion FÉRIQUE

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



	Liste	d Equ	ity and Fixed Income Strategies	;		
SAM	01	Mar	ndatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
	SAM	1.1	Indicate which of the following ESG to implement on your behalf for all y			nanager(s)
			Active investment strategies			

Active investment strategies	Listed Equity	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	FI - Securitised
Screening					
Thematic					
Integration	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	V	V
None of the above					

SAM 01.2 Additional information. [Optional]

Gestion Férique encourages its investment managers to consider environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors in their investment decision-making processes in order to effectively and comprehensively manage all of the risks associated with an investment. As such, Gestion Férique considers the degree to which its investment managers incorporate ESG into their investment analyses in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes. We also conduct an annual survey of all our portfolio managers to assess their progress in integrating ESG considerations into the investment management process.

	Selec	tion				
SAM	02	Man	. detent	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
SAIVI	02	IVIAII	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PKII
	SAM 0	2.1	Indicate what RI-related information documentation for your external ma		typically covers in the majority of	selection



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised		
Your organisation's investment strategy and how ESG objectives relate to it	V	abla					
ESG incorporation requirements	V	V	V	V	V		
ESG reporting requirements	V	V	\checkmark	V	V		
Other							
No RI information covered in the selection documentation							

SAM 02.2

Explain how your organisation evaluates the investment manager's ability to align between your investment strategy and their investment approach

Strategy

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised
Assess the time horizon of the investment manager's offering vs. your/beneficiaries' requirements	\checkmark	V			
Assess the quality of investment policy and its reference to ESG					
Assess the investment approach and how ESG objectives are implemented in the investment process	V	V			
Review the manager's firm-level vs. product-level approach to RI	V	\checkmark		\square	
Assess the ESG definitions to be used					
Other					
None of the above					



ESG people/oversight

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised		
Assess ESG expertise of investment teams	\	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$					
Review the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation							
Review how is ESG implementation enforced /ensured	V	V					
Review the manager's RI-promotion efforts and engagement with the industry	\triangleright	V					
Other							
None of the above							

Process/portfolio construction/investment valuation



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised
Review the process for ensuring the quality of the ESG data used					
Review and agree the use of ESG data in the investment decision making process	\checkmark	V			
Review and agree the impact of ESG analysis on investment decisions	V	V	Ø	V	
Review and agree ESG objectives (e.g. risk reduction, return seeking, realworld impact)					
Review and agree manager's ESG risk framework	V	\checkmark	Ø		
Review and agree ESG risk limits at athe portfolio level (portfolio construction) and other ESG objectives					
Review how ESG materiality is evaluated by the manager	V	\checkmark	Ø		
Review process for defining and communicating on ESG incidents					
Review and agree ESG reporting frequency and detail					
Other, specify					
None of the above					



☐ Review responses☑ Review publicly available			-	ole Investment Due Diligen	ce Questionnaire	(LP DD
☐ Review assurance				ses		
☐ Review PRI Transp			•	303		
☐ Request and discu						
✓ Meetings with the p			-	ng FSG/RI themes		
☐ Other, specify		3				
, . , . ,						
SAM 02.4 When	selecti	ng extern	al managers does yo	ur organisation set any of t	the following:	
				_		
	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	FI - Securitised	
G performance						
velopment targets						
GG score	V			<u> </u>	\checkmark	+++
	V	V		•		
SG weight	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	$\overline{\checkmark}$	
eal world economy targets						+++
al world coording targets						
her RI considerations						
one of the above						+++
		•		•		
Monitoring						
M 05 Mandatory			Publi	c Core Assess	ed	PRI 1

Indicate the selection process and its ESG/RI components

☑ Review ESG/RI responses to RfP, RfI, DDQ etc.



information your organisation typically reviews and evaluates

SAM 02.3

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	FI - Securitised	
ESG objectives linked to investment strategy (with examples)						
Evidence on how the ESG incorporation strategy(ies) affected the investment decisions and financial / ESG performance of the portfolio/fund						
Compliance with investment restrictions and any controversial investment decisions						
ESG portfolio characteristics	V	V	\checkmark	\checkmark	V	
How ESG materiality has been evaluated by the manager in the monitored period	V	V	V	Ø		
Information on any ESG incidents	V	V	V	\checkmark	V	
Metrics on the real economy influence of the investments						
PRI Transparency Reports	V	V	V	V	V	
PRI Assessment Reports	V	V	V	V	V	
RI-promotion and engagement with the industry to enhance RI implementation						
Changes to the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation						
Other general RI considerations in investment management agreements; specify						
None of the above						

SAM 05.2

When monitoring external managers, does your organisation set any of the following to measure compliance/progress



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	FI - Securitised
ESG score	\checkmark	\checkmark	V	\checkmark	
ESG weight					
ESG performance minimum threshold					
Real world economy targets					
Other RI considerations					
None of the above					

OIL	tputs	and		CON	200
Ou	เมนเจ	anu	out	COL	

SAM 08 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose Public Descriptive PRI 1

SAM 08.1

Describe how you ensure that best RI practice is applied to managing your assets

☑ Encourage improved RI practices with existing investment managers

Measures

No specific measures as our approach is pragmatic and collaborative. An annual questionnaire is sent to our external managers and discussed with them to see how their approach have evolved during the year. We do not set ESG objectives at this point.

- ☐ Move assets over to investment managers with better RI practices
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☐ None of the above

SAM 09 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,6

SAM 09.1

Provide examples of how ESG issues have been addressed in the manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring process for your organisation during the reporting year.

☑ Add Example 1



Topic or issue	ESG Scoring and annual survey					
Conducted by	☑ Internal staff					
Asset class	 ☑ All asset classes ☐ Listed Equity ☐ Fixed income – SSA ☐ Fixed income – corporate (financial) ☐ Fixed income – corporate (non financial) ☐ Fixed income – securitised 					
Scope and process	 Perform annual survey of PRI approach for all asset classes and portfolio managers and assess internally each approach of our Portfolio managers. Perform quaterly assesment of ESG scoring from Sustainalytics for listed equities. Monitor the ESG scoring of our Funds vs our competitor trough Morningstar. Track, monitor and explain the changes in trend of the ESG scoring (Sustainalytics for listed equities). 					
Outcomes	This allows us the follow the evolution our Funds and external portfolio managers.					
☐ Add Exan	nple 2					
□ Add Exan	nple 3					
☐ Add Example 4						
☐ Add Exan	☐ Add Example 5					
☐ Add Exan	nple 6					
☐ Add Exan	nple 7					
☐ We are no	ot able to provide examples					



Gestion FÉRIQUE

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Ove	erview				
LEA 01	Mano	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
New s	election	ontions have been added	d to this indicator. Pleas	se review your prefilled resp	onses carefully
		opiiono navo soon audo		o roman your promise roop	onoco carorany.
LEA	01.1	Indicate whether your org	ganisation has an active o	wnership policy.	
	'es				
_					
	LEA 01.2	Attach or provide a l	JRL to your active owners	ship policy.	
	O Attach	nment provided:			
	∪RL p	provided:			
		URL			
	{hyper	link:https://www.ferique.co	om/en/investissement-res	ponsable/responsible-investm	ent-policy/}
	LEA 01.3	Indicate what your a	ctive engagement policy of	covers:	
		O an anal an ana a h	to action communities		
		General approach	to active ownership		
		nflicts of interest			
	_	Inment with national stewa	•	S	
		sets/funds covered by activ	ve ownership policy		
	-	ectations and objectives			
	☑ Eng	gagement approach			
		Engagoment			
		Engagement			
	V	☐ ESG issues			
		Prioritisation of engagen	nent		
	V	Method of engagement			
		☐ Transparency of engage	ement activities		
		Due diligence and monit	oring process		
		Insider information			
		☐ Escalation strategies			
		☐ Service Provider specific	c criteria		



Internally, Gestion FÉRIQUE focuses on Governance issues. We also use an external provider

☑ Other specify;

☑ (Proxy) voting approach

who focuses on all ESG issues.

		Voting								
[☑ ESG iss	sues								
]	☑ Prioritisation and scope of voting activities									
]	☑ Methods of voting									
]	☑ Transparency of voting activities									
]	☐ Regional voting practice approaches									
]	☐ Filing or co-filing resolutions									
]	☐ Compai	ny dialogue pre/post-vote	•							
]	☐ Decisio	n-making processes								
]	□ Securiti	es lending processes								
]	☐ Other s	pecify;								
□ Oth	ner									
□ No	ne of the	above								
○ No										
LEA 01.4	Do you	outsource any of your act	ive ownership activ	rities to service providers?						
Yes										
LEA 01.		ere active ownership acti ve ownership policy cove		d by service providers, indicate wh ing.	ether your					
□ Outlin	ne of servi	ce providers role in imple	menting organisation	on's active ownership policy						
□ Desc	ription of c	considerations included in	service provider s	election and agreements						
☐ Identi	fication of	key ESG frameworks se	rvice providers mus	st follow						
☐ Outlin	ne of inform	mation sharing requireme	ents of service provi	iders						
□ Desc	ription of s	service provider monitorin	ng processes							
Other	, specify									
The c imple	ontract be menting th	etween Gestion FÉRIQUE ne organisation's active o	and Aequo outline wnership policy.	es the service provider's role	; in					
☐ None	of the abo	ove								
○ No										
-	-1									
Engageme	π									
A 02 Man	datory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2,3					
LEA 02.1	Indicate	the method of engageme	ent, giving reasons	for the interaction.						



Type of engagement	Reason for interaction	
Individual / Internal staff engagements	☐ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues	
	☐ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure	
	\square To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management	
	☑ We do not engage via internal staff	
Collaborative engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues	
	☑ To encourage improved/inreased ESG disclosure	
	\square To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management	
	☐ We do not engage via collaborative engagements	
Service provider engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues	
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure	
	\square To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management	
	☐ We do not engage via service providers	

LEA 02.2

Indicate whether your organisation plays a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts.

Yes

LEA 02.3 Indicate the role(s) you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- ☑ Discuss the topic (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- ☐ Discuss the rationale for engagement
- ☐ Discuss the objectives of the engagement
- $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Select the companies to be engaged with
- ☐ Discuss the frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- ☐ Discuss next steps for engagement activity
- ☑ Participate directly in certain engagements with your service provider
- ☐ Other; specify
- \square We play no role in engagements that our service provider conducts.

 \bigcirc No

LEA 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.



Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements						
Collaborative							
engagements	Collaborative engagements						
	☑ Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues from other investors						
	☐ Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues						
	☐ Ability to add value to the collaboration						
	☐ Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration						
	☐ Materiality of ESG factors addressed by the collaboration						
	☑ Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration						
	☐ Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred						
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure						
	☐ Follow-up from a voting decision						
	☐ Alleviate the resource burden of engagement						
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries						
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)						
	☑ Other, specify						
	specify						
	Internally, we prioritise collaborative engagement related to Governance issues.						
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagements.						
Service Provider							
engagements	Service Provider engagements						
	☑ Geography / market of the companies						
	☑ Materiality of ESG factors						
	☑ Exposure (size of holdings)						
	☐ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred						
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure						
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries						
	☑ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)						
	☐ Follow-up from voting decision						
	☐ Client request						
	☐ Breaches of international norms						
	☐ Other, specify						
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our service providers.						
○ No							

 \bigcirc No

LEA 04 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1 Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Collaborative engagements					
Service provider engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement acti Minority of engagement acti We do not define specific observice providers. 	vities	agement activities carried out by	our / our	
LEA 05 Mandatory	P	ublic	Core Assessed	PRI 2	

		service providers.		. engagement acumico camea	
-EA 05	Mandatory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
LEA 0	15.1 Indicate	if you monitor and/or review	w engagement	outcomes.	
Collaborative	e engagements	 Yes, in all cases Yes, in a majority of ca Yes, in a minority of ca We do not monitor, or activities. 	ses	ment outcomes via collaborative	engagement
Service prov engagement		 Yes, in all cases Yes, in majority of case Yes, in minority of case We do not monitor, or a providers. 	es	ment outcomes carried out by o	ur service
LEA 0	15.2 Indicate	if you do any of the followin	ng to monitor a	nd review the progress of enga	gement activities.
Service provengagement		☐ Track and/or monitor to met	progress again	objectives st defined objectives and/or KP action taken when original obje	

LEA 06 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 2,4



LEA 06.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

O Yes

No

LEA 07 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,2

LEA 07.1

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Collaborative engagements	○ Yes, systematically● Yes, occasionally○ No
Service provider engagements	○ Yes, systematically● Yes, occasionally○ No

LEA 07.2

Indicate the practices used to ensure information and insights collected through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

- ☐ Involving investment decision-makers when developing engagement programme
- $\hfill\square$ Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
- ☐ Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
- $\hfill\square$ Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
- ☐ Other; specify
- ✓ None

LEA 07.3

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Collaborative engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Service provider engagements	○ Yes, systematically● Yes, occasionally○ No



LEA 07.4

Additional information. [Optional]

Through our webinar, we share some results and insight.

LEA 08 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

LEA 08.1

Indicate if you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Collaborative engagements	Yes, we track the number of our engagements in fullYes, we partially track the number of our engagementsWe do not track
Service provider engagements	Yes, we track the number of our engagements in fullYes, we partially track the number of our engagementsWe do not track

LEA 08.2

Additional information. [OPTIONAL]

In 2018, Gestion FÉRIQUE again used Aequo, a Shareholder Engagement Service Firm.

Through Aequo, dialogues have been undertaken with Suncor Energy, TransCanada, Scotia Bank and Southern Company (United States) in addition to following up on discussions initiated last year with Barrick Gold, Dollarama and Dollar General (United States).

Outp	uts and outcomes			
LEA 09	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2

LEA 09.1

Indicate the proportion of companies from your listed equities portfolio with which your organisation engaged with during the reporting year.



	We did not complete any engagements in the reporting year.	Number of companies engaged (avoid double counting, see explanatory notes)	Proportion of companies engaged with, out of total listed equities portfolio
Collaborative engagements			
Service provider engagements		7	2

LEA 09.2

Indicate the proportion breakdown of engagements conducted within the reporting year by the number of interactions (including interactions made on your behalf)

No. of interactions with a company	% of engagements
One interaction	>76%● 51-75%○ 11-50%○ 1-10%○ None
2 to 3 interactions	>76%51-75%11-50%1-10%None
More than 3 interactions	○ >76% ○ 51-75% ⑨ 11-50% ○ 1-10% ○ None
Total	100%

LEA 09.4

Indicate the percentage of your service provider engagements that you had some involvement in during the reporting year.



Type of engagement	% of engagements with some involvement
Service provider engagements	O >50%
	○ 10-50%
	● <10%
	○ None

LEA 10 Voluntary Public **Additional Assessed** PRI 2 Indicate which of the following your engagement involved. **LEA 10.1** ☑ Letters and emails to companies In a minority of cases O In a majority of cases O In all cases ☑ Meetings and/or calls with board/senior management In a minority of cases O In a majority of cases O In all cases ☑ Meetings and/or calls with the CSR, IR or other management O In a minority of cases In a majority of cases O In all cases $\hfill\square$ Visits to operations \square Visits to the supplier(s) from the 'company's supply chain ☐ Participation in roadshows ☐ Other

LEA 11 Voluntary Public Descriptive PRI 2

LEA 11.1

Provide examples of the engagements that your organisation or your service provider carried out during the reporting year.

☑ Add Example 1



ESG Topic	
	Climate Change
	☐ Executive Remuneration
	☐ Climate Change
	☐ Human rights
	☐ Company leadership issues
	☐ Pollution
	☐ General ESG
	□ Diversity
	☐ Shareholder rights
	☐ Health and Safety
	☐ Sustainability reporting
	☐ Water risks
	☐ Labour practices and supply chain management
	☐ Anti-bribery and corruption
	☐ Deforestation
	☐ Aggressive tax planning
	☐ Cyber security
	☐ Other governance
	☐ Plastics
	□ Other
Conducted by	□ Collaborative
	☑ Service provider
Objectives	Encourage TransCanada to provide details on its energy transition strategy.
Scope and Process	
	Call and meeting have been held to exchange on this issue.
Outcomes	☑ Company changed practice
	☐ Company committed to change
	☐ Disclosure / report published
	□ Divestment
	☐ Failed/no outcome
	☐ Increased understanding / information
	☐ Invested in company
	□ Ongoing
	□ Voting
	☐ Other
	- Outo

☑ Add Example 2



ESG Topic	
	Climate Change
	☐ Executive Remuneration
	☑ Climate Change
	☐ Human rights
	☐ Company leadership issues
	□ Pollution
	☐ General ESG
	□ Diversity
	☐ Shareholder rights
	☐ Health and Safety
	☐ Sustainability reporting
	☐ Water risks
	☐ Labour practices and supply chain management
	☐ Anti-bribery and corruption
	☐ Deforestation
	☐ Aggressive tax planning
	☐ Cyber security
	☐ Other governance
	□ Plastics
	□ Other
Conducted by	□ Collaborative
	☑ Service provider
Objectives	Encourage Southern Company to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by shutting down coal-fired power plants and promote the electric transports.
Scope and Process	Call and meeting have been held to exchange on this issue.
Outcomes	☐ Company changed practice
	□ Company committed to change
	☐ Disclosure / report published
	□ Divestment
	☐ Failed/no outcome
	☐ Increased understanding / information
	☐ Invested in company
	☐ Ongoing
	□ Voting
	☑ Other



ESG Topic	Labour practices and supply chain management
	☐ Executive Remuneration
	☐ Climate Change
	☐ Human rights
	☐ Company leadership issues
	□ Pollution
	☐ General ESG
	□ Diversity
	☐ Shareholder rights
	☐ Health and Safety
	☐ Sustainability reporting
	□ Water risks
	☑ Labour practices and supply chain management
	☐ Anti-bribery and corruption
	☐ Deforestation
	☐ Aggressive tax planning
	☐ Cyber security
	☐ Other governance
	□ Plastics
	□ Other
Conducted by	□ Collaborative
	☑ Service provider
Objectives	Encourage Dollar General to increase disclosure by producing a CSR with a special
	attention on its supply chain management.
Scope and Process	Calls have been held to exchange on this issue.
1 100033	
Outcomes	☐ Company changed practice
	☑ Company committed to change
	☐ Disclosure / report published
	☐ Disclosure / report published
	☐ Disclosure / report published ☐ Divestment
	 □ Disclosure / report published □ Divestment □ Failed/no outcome
	 □ Disclosure / report published □ Divestment □ Failed/no outcome □ Increased understanding / information
	 □ Disclosure / report published □ Divestment □ Failed/no outcome □ Increased understanding / information □ Invested in company
	 □ Disclosure / report published □ Divestment □ Failed/no outcome □ Increased understanding / information □ Invested in company □ Ongoing



☐ Add Example 5

\square Add Example 6
☐ Add Example 7
☐ Add Example 8
\square Add Example 9
☐ Add Example 10

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

LEA 12 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 2

LEA 12.1

Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- O We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- O We hire service provider(s) who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.
- O We hire service provider(s) who make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) who make voting decisions on our behalf.

Based on

- O the service provider voting policy we sign off on
- our own voting policy
- O our clients' requests or policies
- O other, explain

LEA 12.2

Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

We reviewed a list of votes that have been casted by our provider on an annual basis to make sure that the voting policy is respected. We also follow the statistics of our votes on different topics to ensure that we understand the evolution of our results and insure that consistency is maintain through time.

LEA 12.3

Additional information.[Optional]

Our proxy voting policy was updated in 2018.

LEA 14 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 2



LLA	14.1	maicate ii your organisation nas t		g programme.	
○ Ye	S				
No)				
15	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
LEA 1	15.1	Indicate the proportion of votes w raised concerns with companies a		ervice providers acting on yo	ur behalf have
O 10	0%				
○ 99	-75%				
O 74	-50%				
O 49	-25%				
24	-1%				
○ Ne	ither we	e nor our service provider(s) raise c	oncerns with comp	panies ahead of voting	
LEA 1	15.2	Indicate the reasons for raising yo	our concerns with	these companies ahead of v	oting.
□Vo	te(s) fo	r selected markets			
□Vo	te(s) fo	r selected sectors			
☑ Vo	te(s) re	lating to certain ESG issues			
☑ Vo	te(s) or	companies exposed to controversy	y on specific ESG	issues	
□Vo	te(s) fo	r significant shareholdings			
□ On	reques	st by clients			
☑ Otl	her				
		Explain			
If F	Portfolio	managers have engaged with com	panias an aartain	issues and have presented	o oboroboldor
	posal.	managers have engaged with com	pariles on certain	issues and have presented	a sitale libidei
16	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
LEA 1	16.1	Indicate the proportion of votes pa service provider(s) acting on your abstaining or voting against mana	behalf, have com	municated to companies the	
O 10	0%				
○ 99	-75%				
O 74	-50%				
O 49	-25%				
O 24	-1%				
		t communicate the rationale to comp			



 \bigcirc Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 1	17	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2	
	LEA 1	For listed equities where you and/o instructions, indicate the percentage	r your service prov e of votes cast duri	ider have the mandate to issue (p ng the reporting year.	roxy) voting	
	• We					
	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)					
		%				
	9	9				
		Specify the basis on which this	percentage is calc	ulated		
	•	of the total number of ballot items on which				
		of the total number of company meetings a	-			
	C	of the total value of your listed equity holdi	ngs on which you o	could have voted		
	○ We	do not track or collect this information				
	LEA 17	Explain your reason(s) for not votin	g on certain holdin	gs		
	□ Sha	res were blocked				
	✓ Noti	ce, ballots or materials not received in time				
	☑ Miss	sed deadline				
	□ Geo	ographical restrictions (non-home market)				
	□ Cos	t				
		flicts of interest				
		dings deemed too small				
	☐ Adn placen	ninistrative impediments (e.g., power of atto nent)	rney requirements,	ineligibility due to participation in	share	
		nt request				
	□ Oth	er				
LEA 1	18	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2	
	LEA 18	Indicate if you track the voting instruhave issued.	uctions that you an	d/or your service provider on you	r behalf	
	Yes	, we track this information				
	LI	Of the voting instructions that y proportion of ballot items that v		rties on your behalf issued, indica	te the	



Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	54
Against (opposing) management recommendations	39
Abstentions	7

100%

 \bigcirc No, we do not track this information

LEA 18.3

In cases where your organisation voted against management recommendations, indicate the percentage of companies you have engaged.

0

LEA	LEA 19		ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
	LEA 1	9.1	Indicate whether your organisation	has a formal escala	ation strategy following unsuccess	sful voting.

○ Yes● No

LEA 20 Voluntary Public Descriptive PRI 2

LEA 20.1

Indicate if your organisation directly or through a service provider filed or co-filed any ESG shareholder resolutions during the reporting year.

○ Yes

No



Gestion FÉRIQUE

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



	Confi	dence	building measures				
CM1	01	Man	datory	Public	Additional Assessed	General	
CM1 01.1		1.1	Indicate whether the reported inform year has undergone:	nation you have pro	ovided for your PRI Transparency	/ Report this	
	☐ Thir	d party	y assurance over selected responses	from this year's PF	RI Transparency Report		
	$\hfill\Box$ Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year						
	☐ Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)						
			udit of the correct implementation of R ne PRI this year)	RI processes and/or	accuracy of RI data (that have b	een	
	☐ Inte	rnal ve	erification of responses before submis	sion to the PRI (e.g	g. by the CEO or the board)		
	☑ Oth	er, spe	ecify				
			stion FÉRIQUE. The whole PRI Trans	sparency Report ha	as been internally verified.		
	□ Nor	ne of th	ne above				
CM1	02	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	General	
· · · · ·	<u> </u>		······				
CM1 02.1			We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report				
	CM1 0	2.1	We undertook third party assurance	e on last year's PRI	Transparency Report		
			We undertook third party assurance		Transparency Report		
	○ Wh	ole PR		ast year			
	○ Who ○ Seld • We	ole PR ected o	I Transparency Report was assured I data was assured in last year's PRI Tr t assure last year's PRI Transparency	ast year ransparency Repor / report	t		
	○ Who ○ Seld • We	ole PR ected o	I Transparency Report was assured I data was assured in last year's PRI Tr	ast year ransparency Repor / report	t		
CM1	○ Who ○ Selo ● We ○ Nor	ole PR ected o did no ne of th	I Transparency Report was assured I data was assured in last year's PRI Tr t assure last year's PRI Transparency	ast year ransparency Repor / report	t	General	
CM1	○ Who ○ Selo ● We ○ Nor	ole PR ected o did no ne of th	I Transparency Report was assured I data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency tassure last year's PRI Transparency ae above, we were in our preparation	ast year ransparency Repor report year and did not re	t port last year. Descriptive		
CM1	○ Who ○ Sele ● We ○ Nor	ole PR ected of did no ne of th Man	I Transparency Report was assured I data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency to assure last year's PRI Transparency to above, we were in our preparation datory We undertake confidence building r	ast year ransparency Repor report year and did not re Public measures that are t	t port last year. Descriptive		
CM1	○ Who ○ Sele ● We ○ Nor 03 CM1 0 □ We □ We	ole PR ected of did no ne of th Man 3.1 adhero carry of	I Transparency Report was assured I data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency to assure last year's PRI Transparency to above, we were in our preparation datory We undertake confidence building ransparency Report:	ast year ransparency Report report year and did not re Public measures that are uses e over a whole public	port last year. Descriptive unspecific to the data contained in its report (such as a sustainability)	n our PRI	
CM1	○ Who ○ Sele ● We ○ Nor 03 CM1 0 □ We □ We extract	ole PR ected of did no ne of th Man 3.1 adhere carry of ts of w	I Transparency Report was assured I data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency to assure last year's PRI Transparency to above, we were in our preparation datory We undertake confidence building ransparency Report: e to an RI certification or labelling schoot independent/third party assurance	ast year ransparency Report report year and did not re Public measures that are uses e over a whole public	port last year. Descriptive unspecific to the data contained in its report (such as a sustainability)	n our PRI	
CM1	○ Who ○ Sele ● We ○ Nor 03 CM1 0 □ We □ We extract	ole PR ected of did no ne of th Man 3.1 adhero carry of ts of w G audit	I Transparency Report was assured I data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency to assure last year's PRI Transparency to above, we were in our preparation of datory We undertake confidence building rational transparency Report: The to an RI certification or labelling school out independent/third party assurance thich are included in this year's PRI Transparency in the policy of holdings	ast year ransparency Report report year and did not re Public measures that are uses e over a whole public	port last year. Descriptive unspecific to the data contained in its report (such as a sustainability)	n our PRI	
CM1	○ Who ○ Sele ● We ○ Nor 03 CM1 0 □ We □ We extract □ ESC □ Oth	ole PR ected of did no ne of th Man 3.1 adhero carry of ts of w G audit er, spe	I Transparency Report was assured I data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency to assure last year's PRI Transparency to above, we were in our preparation of datory We undertake confidence building rational transparency Report: The to an RI certification or labelling school out independent/third party assurance thich are included in this year's PRI Transparency in the policy of holdings	ast year ransparency Report report year and did not re Public measures that are uses e over a whole public	port last year. Descriptive unspecific to the data contained in its report (such as a sustainability)	n our PRI	



CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- O Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- O Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

