



LEA 02	Discipline: Mandatory	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
<p><b>Type of engagement</b></p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p>	<p><b>Reason for interaction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG disclosure</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff</li> </ul>	
<p>Collaborative engagements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG disclosure</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</li> </ul>	
<p>Service provider engagements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG disclosure</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service provider engagements</li> </ul>	

# RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

## 2017

Gestion FÉRIQUE

## About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2017 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

## Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
⚠	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

# Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Strategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04		✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 17	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 02	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 03	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private							✓
SAM 04	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓	✓					
SAM 05	Selection processes (LE and FI)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 06	Evaluating engagement and voting practices in manager selection (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public		✓					
SAM 07.1	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 07.2-5	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 09	Monitoring on active ownership (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 10	Percentage of (proxy) votes	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 11	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SAM 12	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 13	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public							✓
SAM End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	🔒	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 16	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 17	Voting policy & approach	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 18	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 20	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 22	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 24	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 25	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 26	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 27	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

# Gestion FÉRIQUE

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Organisational Overview

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Basic Information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide.

- Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Insurance company
- Foundation
- Endowment
- Development finance institution
- Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund
- Family office
- Other, specify

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Canada

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

55

OO 03

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

OO 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

**OO 04.1** Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2016

**OO 04.2** Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		2	428	198	617
Currency	CAD				
Assets in USD		1	829	570	476

**OO 06**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Descriptive**

**General**

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**OO 06.1** How you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	67
Fixed income	0	33
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0

Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

as broad ranges

<b>OO 06.2</b>	Publish our asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].
----------------	---

## Gateway asset class implementation indicators

<b>OO 10</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

<b>OO 10.1</b>	Select the direct or indirect ESG incorporation activities your organisation implemented for listed equities in the reporting year.
----------------	---

- We address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
- We do not incorporate ESG in our directly managed listed equity and/or we do not address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes.

<b>OO 10.2</b>	Select the direct or indirect engagement activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in the reporting year.
----------------	--

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG issues on our behalf
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

<b>OO 10.3</b>	Select the direct or indirect voting activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in the reporting year
----------------	---

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We require our external managers to vote on our behalf
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

<b>OO 11</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

<b>OO 11.2</b>	Select the externally managed assets classes where you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes (during the reporting year)
----------------	--

- Fixed income – SSA
- Fixed income – corporate (financial)
- Fixed income – corporate (non-financial)
- Fixed income – securitised
- None of the above

<b>OO 12</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

**You will need to make a selection in OO 12.1 only if you have any voluntary modules that you can choose to report on.**

**OO 12.1**

Select from below any additional applicable modules or sections you would like to report on voluntarily. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

RI implementation via external managers

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- Listed Equities
- Fixed income - SSA
- Fixed income - Corporate (financial)
- Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)
- Fixed income - Securitised

Closing module

- Closing module

# Gestion FÉRIQUE

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Strategy and Governance

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Processes / approaches to incorporating ESG
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

**SG 01.4**

Indicate what norms you have used to develop your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

- UN Global Compact Principles
- UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Bill of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify (1)
- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above

**SG 01.5**

Describe your organisation's investment principles, and overall investment strategy, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Our Policy is based on three basic principles. Our first tool, Proxy Voting, enables shareholders to have a positive impact on investee companies. We also encourage, without giving directives, that our external managers emphasize ESG analysis in their stock selection and risk management process. Finally, we encourage companies to improve their ESG practices by participating at some initiatives or collaborations with other stakeholders.

No

**SG 02****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 6**

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**SG 02.1**

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

- Policy setting out your overall approach
- Engagement policy
- (Proxy) voting policy

	URL/Attachment
--	----------------

URL

	URL
--	-----

<https://www.ferique.com/-/media/Documents/Investissement-responsable/Politique-de-droits-de-vote.pdf>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

**SG 02.2**

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.ferique.com/en/ferique-funds/responsible-investment/>

- Attachment

- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.ferique.com/en/ferique-funds/responsible-investment/>

- Attachment

- Processes / approaches to incorporating ESG

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.ferique.com/en/ferique-funds/responsible-investment/>

- Attachment

- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

<b>SG 02.3</b>	Indicate if your organisation's investment principles, and overall investment strategy is publicly available
----------------	--

- Yes
- No

<b>SG 03</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

<b>SG 03.1</b>	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
----------------	---

- Yes

<b>SG 03.2</b>	Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
----------------	---

Gestion FERIQUE deals with potential conflicts of interest in the investment process by following relevant securities regulations, specifically the National Instrument 81-107 and 31-103.

- No

## Objectives and strategies

<b>SG 05</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Gateway/Core Assessed</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	------------------------------	----------------

<b>SG 05.1</b>	Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.
----------------	---

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not reviewed

<b>SG 05.2</b>	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

Gestion FERIQUE sends its portfolio managers an annual survey regarding responsible investment in general and also how each manager incorporates ESG issues in to its investment decisions. This survey allows Gestion FERIQUE to do an evaluation of its portfolio managers.

## Governance and human resources

<b>SG 07</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

<b>SG 07.1</b>	Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.
----------------	---

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
  - Vice President, Investments**
    - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
    - Implementation of responsible investment
    - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
  - Portfolio managers
  - Investment analysts
    - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
    - Implementation of responsible investment
    - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
  - Dedicated responsible investment staff
  - External managers or service providers
    - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
    - Implementation of responsible investment
    - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
  - Investor relations
  - Other role, specify (1)
  - Other role, specify (2)

**SG 07.2**

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

An annual questionnaire is sent to our external managers and discussed with them. Answers are then reviewed by the Investment Team and a report is written and presented to the Investment Committee.

Review service provider proxy voting execution in line with the proxy voting policy.

Execute the Engagements/Initiatives policy and report to the Investment Committee

**SG 07.3**

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

0

## Promoting responsible investment

SG 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**SG 09.1**

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

### Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.  
[Optional]

Gestion FERIQUE uses the PRI Clearinghouse where it identifies opportunities to participate in collaborative engagements. We joined the collaborative initiative on Global statement on investor obligations and duties and continue our implication in the Director nomination process initiative in 2016.

- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- ESG Research Australia
- Eumedion
- EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Gestion FÉRIQUE is an affiliate of SHARE

- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Gestion FÉRIQUE is a member of PRI Québec Network.

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Gestion FÉRIQUE is present at local meetings.

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

SG 10.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Wrote articles on responsible investment in the media.
- Other, specify

specify description

Communication with investment managers

No

**SG 10.3**

Describe any additional actions and initiatives that your organisation has taken part in during the reporting year to promote responsible investment [Optional]

We promote responsible investment through our communication with investment managers asking them how they address ESG issues in their investment decision-making processes.

### Implementation not in other modules

**SG 12**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Descriptive**

**PRI 1**

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**SG 12.1**

Indicate if your organisation executes scenario analysis and/or modelling in which the risk profile of future ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.

- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future environmental trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends
- We execute other scenario analysis, specify
- We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling

**SG 12.2**

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

- We do the following
- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

# Gestion FÉRIQUE

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Overview

<b>SAM 01</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 4</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**SAM 01.1** Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager that delegates management of some or all of our assets to third-party managers.
- No, we do not use investment consultants or fiduciary managers.

<b>SAM 02</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

**SAM 02.1** Indicate for which of the following externally managed asset classes your organisation, and/or your investment consultants, consider responsible investment factors in investment manager: (a) Selection, (b) Appointment (investment management agreements/contracts), and (c) Monitoring

Select all that apply

Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Listed equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fixed income - SSA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fixed income - Corporate (financial)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fixed income - Securitised	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**SAM 02.2** Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

Gestion Férique encourages its investment managers to consider environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors in its investment decision-making processes in order to effectively and comprehensively manage all of the risks associated with an investment. As such, Gestion Férique considers the degree to which its investment managers incorporate ESG into their investment analyses in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes. We also conduct an annual survey of all our portfolio managers to assess their progress in integrating ESG considerations into the investment management process.

## Listed Equity and Fixed Income Strategies

SAM 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1,2
--------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

**SAM 04.1** Indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you require your external manager(s) to implement on your behalf:

Active investment strategies

Active investment strategies	Listed Equity	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)?
Screening	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Thematic	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Integration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Selection**

SAM 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**SAM 05.1** Indicate what RI-related information your organisation typically covers in the majority of selection documentation for your external managers

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)?				
Investment strategy and how ESG objectives relate to it	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
ESG incorporation requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
ESG reporting requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
No RI information covered in the RFPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				

**SAM 05.2** Explain how your organisation evaluates the investment manager's ability to align between your investment strategy and their investment approach

Strategy

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)				
Assess the time horizon of the investment manager's investment strategy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Assess the quality of investment policy and its reference to ESG	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Assess the investment approach and how ESG objectives are implemented in the investment process (asset class specific)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Assess the ESG definitions to be used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				

ESG people/oversight

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)?				
Assess ESG expertise of investment teams	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Review the ownership of the ESG implementation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Review how is ESG implementation enforced /ensured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				

Process/portfolio construction/investment valuation

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)?				
Review the process ensuring the quality of the ESG data used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Review and agree the investment decision making process (and ESG data use in it)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Review and agree the ESG incorporation strategy impact of ESG analysis on investment decisions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Review and agree how the manager is targeting returns and ESG objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Review and agree how the manager identifies, measures and manages ESG risk	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Review and agree return and risk in combination at a portfolio level (portfolio construction) and ESG objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Review how ESG materiality is evaluated by the manager in the monitored period	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Review process for defining and communicating on ESG incidents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				

**SAM 05.3**

Describe the selection process

- Review responses to RfP, RfI, DDQ etc.
- Review Limited Partners' Responsible Investment Due Diligence Questionnaire (PE DDQ)
- Review publicly available information
- Review assurance process
- Review PRI Transparency Reports
- Request and discuss PRI Assessment Reports
- Meetings with the potential shortlisted managers
- Site visits to potential managers offices
- Other, specify

**SAM 05.4**

When selecting external managers does your organisation set any of the following:

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)?				
ESG score	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
ESG weight	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Real world economy targets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other RI considerations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				

**SAM 06**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Additional Assessed**

**PRI 2**

**SAM 06.1**

Indicate how your organisation typically evaluates the manager's active ownership practices in the majority of the manager.

Engagement

	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)?	
Review the manager's engagement policy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review the manager's engagement process (with examples and outcomes)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Ensure that engagement outcomes feed back into the investment decision-making process	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other engagement issues in your selection process specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**SAM 06.2**

Describe how you assess if the manager's engagement approach is effective.

- Impact on investment decisions
- Impact on company / asset level
- Impact on ESG profile or the portfolio
- Evidence of changes in corporate practices
- Other, specify
- None of the above

**Appointment****SAM 07.1****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 1****SAM 07.1**

Indicate if in the majority of cases and where the structure of the product allows, your organisation does any of the following as part of the manager appointment

- Sets standard or ESG benchmarks
- Defines ESG objectives
- Sets incentives and controls linked to the objectives
- Requires reporting on these objectives
- None of the above
- None of the above, we invest only in pooled funds and have a thorough selection process

**SAM 07.2-5****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 1****SAM 07.2**

Provide an example per asset class of your benchmarks, objectives, incentives/controls and reporting requirements that would typically be included in your managers' appointment.

Asset class

- Listed equity (LE)

Benchmark

- We do not set benchmarks

Objectives

- We do not define ESG objectives

Incentives and controls

- We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives

Fixed income - SSA (SSA)

Benchmark

We do not set benchmarks

Objectives

We do not define ESG objectives

Incentives and controls

We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives

Fixed income - Corporate (financial)

Benchmark

We do not set benchmarks

Objectives

We do not define ESG objectives

Incentives and controls

We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives

Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)

Benchmark

We do not set benchmarks

Objectives

We do not define ESG objectives

Incentives and controls

We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives

**Monitoring**

<b>SAM 08</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>SAM 08.1</b>	When monitoring managers, indicate which of the following types of responsible investment information your organisation typically reviews and evaluates from the investment manager in meetings/calls
-----------------	---

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)?				
ESG objectives linked to investment strategy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Investment restrictions and any controversial investment decisions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
ESG incorporation objectives (with examples)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
ESG portfolio characteristics	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
How ESG materiality has been evaluated by the manager in the monitored period	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Information on any ESG incidents	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Metrics on the real economy influence of the investments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
PRI Transparency Reports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
PRI Assessment Reports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other general RI considerations in investment management agreements; specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				

**SAM 08.2**

When monitoring external managers, does your organisation set any of the following to measure compliance/progress

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)?				
ESG score	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
ESG weight	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Real world economy targets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other RI considerations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				

<b>SAM 09</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Additional Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

**SAM 09.1** When monitoring managers, indicate which of the following active ownership information your organisation typically reviews and evaluates from the investment manager in meetings/calls

Engagement

	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)?	
Report on engagements undertaken (outcomes and examples)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Account on engagement ESG impacts	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Other RI considerations relating to engagement in investment management agreements; specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**Outputs and outcomes**

<b>SAM 12</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Additional Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1,6</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------

**SAM 12.1** Provide examples of how ESG issues have been addressed in the manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring process for your organisation during the reporting year.

Add Example 1

Topic or issue	ESG Scoring and annual survey
Conducted by	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal staff
Asset class	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All asset classes <input type="checkbox"/> Listed Equity <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed income – SSA <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed income – corporate (financial) <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed income – corporate (financial)
Scope and process	Perform annual survey of RI approach, and an annual assessment of ESG scoring from Sustainalytics for listed equities.
Outcomes	This allows us to follow the evolution of their RI approach and to improve the monitoring of our external portfolio managers.

- Add Example 2
- Add Example 3
- Add Example 4
- Add Example 5
- We are not able to provide examples

## Communication

SAM 13	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

### SAM 13.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.

- Yes, we disclose information publicly
- Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

# Gestion FÉRIQUE

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Engagement

### Overview

LEA 01	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

LEA 01.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal engagement policy.
----------	--

Yes

LEA 01.2	Indicate what your engagement policy covers:
----------	--

- Conflicts of interest
- Prioritisation of engagements
- Transparency
- Environmental factors
- Social factors
- Governance factors
- Engagements following on from decisions
- Other, describe
- None of the above

LEA 01.4	Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to engagement
----------	--

Gestion FÉRIQUE focuses on Governance issues. We believe that good practice in this area generally raises the standard practices in other spheres of activity of the company.

No

LEA 02	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1	Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.
----------	--

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
<b>Individual/Internal staff engagements</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
<b>Service provider engagements</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

## Process

### Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

<b>LEA 05.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements
-----------------	--

Yes

**LEA 05.2**

Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise collaborative engagements

- Potential to learn from other investors
- Ability to add value to the collaboration
- Geography / market of the companies targeted
- Materiality of ESG factors
- Systemic risks to global portfolios
- Exposure (holdings)
- In response to ESG impacts which has already taken place
- In response to divestment pressure
- Client requests
- Other, describe

	other description
--	-------------------

We prioritise collaborative engagement related to Governance issues.

No

**LEA 06****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2**

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**LEA 06.1**

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities carried out collaboratively.

- Yes
  - Yes, for all engagement activities
  - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
  - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out collaboratively.

**LEA 06.2**

Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

- Yes
  - Yes, in all cases
  - Yes, in the majority of cases
  - Yes, in the minority of cases
- We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out collaboratively

**LEA 06.3**

Indicate whether your organisation defines milestones and goals related to engagement activities carried out via collaborations.

- Yes
- No

## General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

**LEA 10.1** Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

**LEA 10.2** Additional information. [OPTIONAL]

In 2016, Gestion FERIQUE joined the collaborative initiative on Global statement on investor obligations and duties and continue is implication in the Director nomination process initiative. These engagements were not having direct call with companies in 2016.

## Communication

LEA 16	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

**LEA 16.1** Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

**LEA 16.5** Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

### Engagement information disclosed

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

Brief explanation of the engagements.

**LEA 16.6**

Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information

- Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly or more frequently
- Disclosed biannually
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc/when requested
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

**(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions****Overview****LEA 17****Mandatory****Public****Gateway****PRI 1,2,3**

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**LEA 17.1**

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal voting policy.

- Yes

**LEA 17.2**

Indicate what your voting policy covers:

- Conflicts of interest
- Prioritisation of voting activities
- Transparency
- Decision making processes
- Environmental factors
- Social factors
- Governance factors
- Filing/co-filing resolutions
- Extraordinary meetings
- Share blocking
- Regional voting practices
- Record keeping
- Company dialogue pre/post vote
- Securities lending process
- Other, describe
- None of the above

**LEA 17.3** Attach or provide a URL to your voting policy. [Optional]

URL

<https://www.ferique.com/-/media/Documents/Investissement-responsable/Politique-de-droits-de-vote.pdf>

**LEA 17.4** Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to (proxy) voting.

Gestion Férique has a proxy voting policy based on three principles: 1) long-term profitability; 2) accountability; and 3) transparency. This policy enables Gestion Férique to actively encourage companies to adopt responsible corporate practices in the long-term best interests of our client. More information can be found at: <https://www.ferique.com/en/ferique-funds/responsible-investment/>

**LEA 17.5** Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made (if applicable).

We review the voting explanations and assess whether it corresponds or not to our voting policy.

No

## Process

<b>LEA 18</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

**LEA 18.1** Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf.

Based on

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- our own voting policy
- our clients' requests or policy
- other, explain

LEA 18.2	Additional information.[Optional]
----------	-----------------------------------

Our proxy voting policy was updated in 2015.

LEA 22	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

LEA 22.1	Indicate whether you or the service providers acting on your behalf raise any concerns with companies ahead of voting
----------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- Neither we nor our service provider raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 22.2	Indicate whether you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale to companies, when , you abstain or vote against management recommendations.
----------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases.
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

### Outputs and outcomes

LEA 23	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 23.1	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
----------	--

- We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

100

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--	--

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

**LEA 23.2** Explain your reason(s) for not voting certain holdings

- Shares were blocked
- Notice, ballots or materials not received in time
- Missed deadline
- Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- Cost
- Conflicts of interest
- Holdings deemed too small
- Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
- We do not vote on environmental resolutions
- We do not vote on social resolutions
- On request by clients
- Other
- We do not track or collect this information

**LEA 24** **Mandatory** **Public** **Additional Assessed** **PRI 2**

**LEA 24.1** Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

- Yes, we track this information

**LEA 24.2** Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	 48
Against (opposing) management recommendations	 42
Abstentions	 10

100%

**LEA 24.3** Describe the actions you take after voting against management recommendations.

Nothing.

No, we do not track this information

## Communication

LEA 27

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA 27.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

We disclose it publicly

provide URL

<https://www.ferique.com/-/media/Documents/Investissement-responsable/Politique-de-droits-de-vote.pdf>

LEA 27.2

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

Yes

LEA 27.3

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

**LEA 27.4**

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc/as requested
- No
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries